

---

# Contents

---

<b>PREFACE</b> . . . . .	ix
<b>NOMENCLATURE</b> . . . . .	xi
<b>CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION</b> . . . . .	1
1.1. Lubrication regimes – Stribeck curve . . . . .	1
1.2. Topography of rough surfaces . . . . .	3
1.2.1. 2D profile parameters . . . . .	5
1.2.2. Common standard profile parameters . . . . .	12
1.3. Bibliography . . . . .	18
<b>CHAPTER 2. COMPUTING THE HYDRODYNAMIC PRESSURE</b> . . . . .	19
2.1. Patir and Cheng stochastic model. . . . .	20
2.1.1. Model description . . . . .	20
2.1.2. Computation of the flow factors . . . . .	24
2.1.3. Computation of the friction torque for a journal bearing . . . . .	31
2.1.4. Application limits for the Patir and Cheng model . . . . .	33
2.2. Model based on a direct computation of the flow factors . . . . .	34
2.2.1. Model description . . . . .	35
2.2.2. Introduction of the cross-factors: new expression of the Reynolds equation. . . . .	40
2.2.3. Flow factors calculation methods . . . . .	43
2.2.4. Calculation of the hydrodynamic load capacity and friction force in the presence of the contact zones . . . . .	48

2.2.5. Recommendations for the calculation of flow and shear factors . . . . .	50
2.2.6. Calculation of the principal direction . . . . .	56
2.2.7. Analysis of the combination of two combined rough surfaces. . . . .	59
2.2.8. Examples relating to real rough surfaces . . . . .	63
2.3. Homogenization method. . . . .	66
2.3.1. Incompressible and isoviscous steady-state case . . . . .	67
2.3.2. Incompressible and isoviscous unsteady case. . . . .	73
2.3.3. Taking into account the cavitation . . . . .	77
2.3.4. Non-Newtonian or thermoviscous fluid . . . . .	81
2.3.5. Implementation for internal combustion engine bearing computation . . . . .	85
2.4. Comparison between the flow factors obtained with Patir and Cheng, direct computation and homogenization models . . . . .	87
2.5. Example of pressure profiles obtained from flow factors calculated with Patir and Cheng, direct computation and homogenization models. . . . .	90
2.6. Comparison with deterministic computations . . . . .	94
2.7. Bibliography . . . . .	99
<b>CHAPTER 3. COMPUTING THE CONTACT PRESSURE . . . . .</b>	<b>103</b>
3.1. Concept of sum surface . . . . .	104
3.1.1. The microgeometric properties of the sum surface . . . . .	104
3.1.2. Elastic and plastic properties of the sum surface . . . . .	104
3.2. Elastic contact model proposed by Greenwood and Williamson . . . . .	105
3.3. Elasto-plastic contact model proposed by Robbe-Valloire <i>et al.</i> . . . . .	108
3.3.1. Elasto-plastic constitutive law . . . . .	109
3.3.2. Description of microgeometric properties of rough surfaces . . . . .	110
3.3.3. Asperity deformation . . . . .	111
3.3.4. Contact between two rough surfaces. . . . .	113
3.4. Elasto-plastic double-layer contact model proposed by Progri <i>et al.</i> . . . . .	115
3.4.1. Elastic regime . . . . .	116
3.4.2. Elasto-plastic and plastic regimes . . . . .	117
3.5. Model based on discrete Fourier transformation. . . . .	119
3.6. Deterministic model based on finite elements. . . . .	124

3.6.1. Boundary conditions . . . . .	126
3.6.2. Contact algorithm . . . . .	127
3.7. Using the contact models . . . . .	128
3.7.1. Material data . . . . .	130
3.7.2. Comparative results obtained through FFT and FEM methods . . . . .	131
3.7.3. Sensitivity of the stochastic methods on the parameter values . . . . .	141
3.7.4. Comparison between the stochastic models and the FEM predictions for a numerically generated surface roughness. . . . .	144
3.7.5. Comparison between the stochastic models and the FEM predictions for a surface roughness obtained through optical surface profilometry . . . . .	147
3.8. Influence of the roughness deformation generated by the contact pressure on the flow factors . . . . .	149
3.9. Using the contact models in an industrial context. . . . .	151
3.10. Bibliography . . . . .	153
<b>CHAPTER 4. WEAR . . . . .</b>	<b>155</b>
4.1. General concepts about wear . . . . .	156
4.2. Running-in . . . . .	163
4.3. Experimental determination of the Archard coefficient. . . . .	165
4.4. Numerical modeling of the wear . . . . .	168
4.4.1. Model 1: wear updated at each computing step. . . . .	168
4.4.2. Model 2: wear updated at the end of the computation cycle . . . . .	170
4.4.3. Model 3: wear updated at the end of the computation cycle with imposed maximum wear . . . . .	173
4.4.4. Comparative examples of wear predicted by the three models. . . . .	174
4.5. Bibliography . . . . .	182
<b>INDEX . . . . .</b>	<b>183</b>