

---

# Contents

---

|  |    |
|--|----|
| <b>Introduction</b> . . . . .  | ix |
| <b>Chapter 1. The Mediatized Trace of the Social World,<br/>the Object of Research</b> . . . . . | 1  |
| 1.1. There are traces and traces . . . . .   | 3  |
| 1.1.1. The avatars of a trace of use . . . . .   | 4  |
| 1.1.2. Metamorphoses of the trace . . . . .  | 8  |
| 1.1.3. Mediation, mediatization, device . . . . .  | 10 |
| 1.2. The social trace as a problem: the legacy of the<br>history of the book . . . . .           | 12 |
| 1.2.1. From book to reading . . . . .  | 12 |
| 1.2.2. A constellation of categories . . . . .   | 15 |
| 1.2.3. Structural difficulties . . . . .   | 18 |
| 1.3. Relevance and efficiency . . . . .  | 21 |
| 1.3.1. The evasive relevance of the trace . . . . .  | 22 |
| 1.3.2. An efficient figure of relevance . . . . .  | 23 |
| 1.3.3. Trace as an interpretative schema . . . . .   | 25 |
| <b>Chapter 2. The Schema of the Trace, a Paradoxical Semiotics</b> . . . . .                     | 31 |
| 2.1. The false evidence of the Peircian index . . . . .  | 35 |
| 2.1.1. The concept of index and semiotic theory . . . . .  | 35 |
| 2.1.2. The index as a commonplace category . . . . .   | 39 |
| 2.1.3. The production of indexicality . . . . .  | 42 |
| 2.1.4. Assessment: a problematic legacy . . . . .  | 46 |
| 2.2. The trace, appearance and presence of the past in the present . . . . .                     | 47 |
| 2.2.1. The photographic scene, here, now and in the past . . . . .                               | 50 |
| 2.2.2. The theoretical issue of Barthes' analysis . . . . .                                      | 54 |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| 2.3. From the archetype of the trace to its theoretical status . . . . .                   | 56         |
| 2.3.1. Photography as a commonplace archetype . . . . .                                    | 56         |
| 2.3.2. From the trace schema to the deployment of devices . . . . .                        | 60         |
| 2.3.3. Photography as a pretext . . . . .  | 62         |
| 2.4. The mediatized trace, a complex info-communication device . . . . .                   | 69         |
| 2.4.1. Device . . . . .  | 71         |
| 2.4.2. Text . . . . .  | 73         |
| 2.4.3. Representation. . . . .   | 77         |
| 2.4.4. Competence . . . . .  | 81         |
| 2.4.5. Format . . . . .  | 84         |
| <b>Chapter 3. The Complex Genesis of the Written Trace . . . . .</b>                       | <b>87</b>  |
| 3.1. The available inscription . . . . .   | 91         |
| 3.1.1. Inscription as a framework for thought . . . . .                                    | 92         |
| 3.1.2. Grammatology or philosophy in the <i>camera obscura</i> . . . . .                   | 95         |
| 3.1.3. Ichnology as radical logistics . . . . .  | 97         |
| 3.2. The thickness of the traced-out . . . . .   | 103        |
| 3.2.1. Actualized presentification <i>in absentia</i> . . . . .                            | 104        |
| 3.2.2. Between inscription device and graphic gesture . . . . .                            | 106        |
| 3.2.3. The traced-out feature, a figure of mediation. . . . .                              | 108        |
| 3.3. It has been... written . . . . .  | 114        |
| 3.3.1. Signature, a social act between identification<br>and authentication . . . . .      | 116        |
| 3.3.2. Genetic criticism in the context of the<br>trace/traced-out couple. . . . .         | 119        |
| 3.4. The written trace as an institutional fact . . . . .                                  | 130        |
| 3.4.1. The written trace, a scientific assumption. . . . .                                 | 131        |
| 3.4.2. The written trace, a device of social knowledge power . . . . .                     | 141        |
| 3.4.3. The written trace, an educational mediation . . . . .                               | 145        |
| <b>Chapter 4. The Emerging Trace of the Media Text . . . . .</b>                           | <b>151</b> |
| 4.1. The poetics of <i>Mnemosyne</i> : media forms and social memory . . . . .             | 154        |
| 4.1.1. A mediatized space of thought. . . . .  | 157        |
| 4.1.2. The trace schema questioned by the atlas of forms. . . . .                          | 164        |
| 4.1.3. The poetics of <i>Mnemosyne</i> at work in media analysis . . . . .                 | 171        |
| 4.2. Indexical reading of media texts . . . . .  | 183        |
| 4.2.1. The textual witness as a ferment. . . . .   | 184        |
| 4.2.2. The “index paradigm”, from its commonplace life<br>to its heuristic scope . . . . . | 189        |
| 4.2.3. Quotations, from second hand to guestimates . . . . .                               | 202        |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 4.3. Writing in the future perfect . . . . .  | 213 |
| 4.3.1. <i>Mnemosyne</i> struggling with Lethe. . . . .                                    | 217 |
| 4.3.2. Changes in authority, economy of writings and<br>media genesis of traces . . . . . | 222 |
| 4.3.3. Memorial writing in devices . . . . .  | 228 |
| <b>Conclusion</b> . . . . .   | 231 |
| <b>References</b> . . . . .   | 241 |
| <b>Index</b> . . . . .  | 259 |