

---

## Contents

---

<b>Foreword</b> . . . . .	xi
Philippe EUDELINÉ	
<b>Preface</b> . . . . .	xiii
Abdelkhalak EL HAMI, David DELAUX and Henri GRZESKOWIAK	
<b>Chapter 1. FIDES, a Method for Assessing and Building the Reliability of Electronic Systems</b> . . . . .	1
Franck DAVENEL	
1.1. The inadequacy of existing methods . . . . .	2
1.1.1. MIL-HDBK-217F . . . . .	2
1.1.2. UTE-C-80810 (or RDF2000, or IEC 62380 TR Ed.1) . . . . .	2
1.1.3. PRISM® or 217plus . . . . .	2
1.2. The ambition of FIDES . . . . .	3
1.3. General presentation of the FIDES method . . . . .	5
1.3.1. Failure rate . . . . .	6
1.3.2. The structure of FIDES models . . . . .	7
1.3.3. Physical models . . . . .	8
1.3.4. The exploitation of manufacturer data . . . . .	8
1.3.5. Exploiting databases of failure mechanisms (not failure rates) . . . . .	9
1.3.6. Life profile . . . . .	10
1.3.7. Other contributors . . . . .	11
1.3.8. Sensitivity of FIDES models . . . . .	13
1.3.9. Industrial applications . . . . .	14
1.4. Validity of reliability studies with FIDES . . . . .	14
1.5. Conclusion . . . . .	16
1.6. References . . . . .	18

<b>Chapter 2. Reliability in Maritime Transport: Choosing a Container Handling System</b> . . . . .	19
Julien RULLIER, Benjamin ECHARD and Ghislaine DELAPAYRE	
2.1. Introduction. . . . .	19
2.2. Proposed case study. . . . .	20
2.3. Inputs of the RAMS approach. . . . .	22
2.3.1. Presentation of the system. . . . .	22
2.3.2. Component reliability data . . . . .	25
2.3.3. Reliability of carabiners over time . . . . .	25
2.4. Assessment of the system's RAMS. . . . .	31
2.4.1. Reliability assessment . . . . .	31
2.4.2. Assessment of the intrinsic availability . . . . .	32
2.4.3. Maintainability assessment . . . . .	33
2.4.4. Safety assessment. . . . .	33
2.5. Conclusion . . . . .	55
2.5.1. FMECA or fault trees, how to choose? . . . . .	55
2.5.2. Pitfalls to avoid . . . . .	57
2.5.3. Note on low reliability targets in innovative systems . . . . .	59
2.6. General conclusion . . . . .	59
2.7. References . . . . .	60
<b>Chapter 3. Generation of a Failure Model through Probabilistic "Stress–Strength" Interaction in a Context of Poor Information</b> . . . . .	61
Lambert PIERRAT	
3.1. Introduction. . . . .	61
3.2. Aims and objectives. . . . .	62
3.3. Choosing types of legislation . . . . .	63
3.3.1. Principle of maximum entropy . . . . .	63
3.3.2. The strength distribution. . . . .	64
3.3.3. The law of constraint. . . . .	65
3.3.4. Relationship between the two laws . . . . .	66
3.4. Probability of failure . . . . .	67
3.4.1. Formulation . . . . .	67
3.4.2. Analytical solution . . . . .	68
3.4.3. Parametric expression . . . . .	69
3.5. Safety factor . . . . .	69
3.5.1. Simplified expressions. . . . .	70
3.5.2. Validity limits . . . . .	70
3.6. Validation and applications . . . . .	72
3.6.1. Comparative analyses . . . . .	72

3.6.2. Applications . . . . .	75
3.7. Conclusion and extensions . . . . .	79
3.8. References . . . . .	79

**Chapter 4. Reliable Optimization of Dental Implants Using the Generalized Polynomial Chaos Method . . . . . 83**

Fatma ABID, Abdelkhalak EL HAMI, Tarek MERZOUKI, Hassen TRABELSI, Lassaad WALHA and Mohamed HADDAR

4.1. Introduction. . . . .	83
4.2. Stochastic approach . . . . .	84
4.2.1. The MC method. . . . .	84
4.2.2. The GPC method . . . . .	85
4.3. Deterministic design optimization . . . . .	86
4.4. Reliability-based design optimization . . . . .	87
4.4.1. The classic method . . . . .	88
4.4.2. OSF using GPC . . . . .	89
4.5. Numerical result . . . . .	91
4.5.1. 2D dental implant. . . . .	91
4.6. Conclusion . . . . .	96
4.7. References . . . . .	96

**Chapter 5. Multi-objective Reliability Optimization Based on Substitution Models. Applied Case Study of a Hip Prosthesis . . . . . 101**

Khalil DAMMAK and Abdelkhalak EL HAMI

5.1. Introduction. . . . .	101
5.2. Description of metamodeling methods. . . . .	103
5.2.1. Application of a substitution model . . . . .	103
5.2.2. Construction of a metamodel . . . . .	104
5.2.3. Validation of metamodels . . . . .	110
5.3. Optimization of multi-objective design . . . . .	111
5.3.1. Deterministic MOO . . . . .	111
5.3.2. Reliability-based multi-objective design optimization . . . . .	113
5.4. RBMDO based on hip prosthesis surrogate models . . . . .	114
5.4.1. Deterministic simulation using the finite element method. . . . .	114
5.4.2. Construction of substitution models . . . . .	116
5.4.3. Optimization of multi-objective design based on reliability. . . . .	118
5.5. Conclusion . . . . .	121
5.6. References . . . . .	122

<b>Chapter 6. CMA-ES Assisted by the Kriging Metamodel for the Optimization of Thermomechanical Performances of Mechatronic Packaging</b> . . . . .	129
Hamid HAMDANI, Bouchaib RADI and Abdelkhalak EL HAMI	
6.1. Introduction. . . . .	130
6.2. Presentation of the system under study . . . . .	131
6.2.1. The case of wire bonding . . . . .	133
6.2.2. The case of solder joints. . . . .	133
6.3. Thermal fatigue models of solder joints . . . . .	135
6.3.1. The Coffin–Manson model . . . . .	136
6.3.2. The Morrow model. . . . .	137
6.3.3. The Coffin–Manson frequency-modified model. . . . .	138
6.3.4. The Morrow frequency-modified model . . . . .	138
6.3.5. The Darveaux model. . . . .	138
6.4. Modeling and finite element analysis of the PQFP housing . . . . .	139
6.4.1. Modeling. . . . .	139
6.4.2. Material properties . . . . .	141
6.4.3. Thermal load. . . . .	142
6.4.4. Fatigue model selected for solder joints. . . . .	143
6.4.5. Numerical results . . . . .	144
6.5. Evolutionary strategies . . . . .	145
6.5.1. Presentation of evolutionary strategies . . . . .	145
6.5.2. Principles of ESs . . . . .	146
6.5.3. Covariance matrix adaptation evolution strategy . . . . .	146
6.5.4. Metamodeling techniques . . . . .	151
6.5.5. Kriging-assisted CMA-ES. . . . .	154
6.6. Global optimization of the PQFP housing solder joints . . . . .	158
6.6.1. Formulation of the problem. . . . .	158
6.6.2. Numerical simulations. . . . .	160
6.7. Conclusion . . . . .	162
6.8. References . . . . .	164
<b>Chapter 7. Reliable Optimization of Vibro-acoustic Problems in the Presence of Uncertainties via Polynomial Chaos</b> . . . . .	171
Khalil DAMMAK and Abdelkhalak EL HAMI	
7.1. Introduction. . . . .	171
7.2. Robust approaches to uncertainty propagation . . . . .	172
7.2.1. The Monte Carlo method . . . . .	172
7.2.2. Generalized polynomial chaos . . . . .	174
7.3. Structural optimization . . . . .	180
7.3.1. Formulation of the optimization problem. . . . .	180

---

7.3.2. Deterministic design optimization . . . . .	181
7.3.3. Reliability design optimization . . . . .	182
7.4. OSF method coupled with GPC applied to vibro-acoustic systems in the presence of uncertainties. . . . .	187
7.4.1. Deterministic model . . . . .	191
7.4.2. Probabilistic analysis. . . . .	193
7.4.3. OSF method coupled with GPC . . . . .	194
7.5. Conclusion . . . . .	197
7.6. References . . . . .	197
<b>List of Authors</b> . . . . .	205
<b>Index</b> . . . . .	207
<b>Summaries of other volumes</b> . . . . .	211