

---

# Contents

---

<b>INTRODUCTION</b> . . . . .	ix
<b>CHAPTER 1. RISK-ORIENTED PHILOSOPHY, FORECAST-BASED PHILOSOPHY AND PROCESS.</b> . . . . .	1
1.1. A risk-oriented philosophy and a forecast-based philosophy. . . . .	1
1.1.1. Why a risk-oriented philosophy? . . . . .	1
1.1.2. Management by crisis is the philosophy of global capitalism . . . . .	2
1.1.3. A forecast-based philosophy and risk evaluation processes . . . . .	3
1.1.4. One-year scenarios and leading indicators . . . . .	4
1.1.5. Forecasting ability is limited by exogenous shock risks . . . . .	8
1.1.6. The necessity of scenario building . . . . .	9
1.1.7. The importance of crisis propagation scenarios . . . . .	11
1.2. Rational expectations theory and the efficient market hypothesis. . . . .	12
1.2.1. Rational expectations hypothesis and geopolitical risks . . . . .	12
1.2.2. Imperfect knowledge and forecasts imply surprises . . . . .	14
1.2.3. Rational expectations hypothesis and imperfect forecasts in a crisis-prone period. . . . .	15
1.2.4. How could the <i>homo economicus</i> be rational with media advice as the only information? . . . . .	18
1.3. Irrational crisis behaviors make previous expectation hypotheses dangerous . . . . .	19
1.3.1. Irrational crisis behavior and fear . . . . .	19
1.3.2. Irrational crisis behavior and bubbles . . . . .	19
1.3.3. Irrational crisis behaviors and mimesis . . . . .	20
1.3.4. Irrational crisis behavior and illiquid markets with mimesis and some fears. . . . .	20

---

1.3.5. Market efficiency is not easy to study during a crisis. . . . .	21
1.3.6. Economic scenario generators can take care of rational and irrational behaviors with some fears and mimesis in a crisis-prone period . . . . .	23
1.4. How large is the rational hypothesis validity field? . . . . .	25
1.4.1. US mutual fund record and rational hypothesis validity field . . . . .	25
1.4.2. To judge the rational hypothesis validity field is complex. . . . .	26
1.5. Conclusion . . . . .	28
<b>CHAPTER 2. SCENARIO BUILDING PROCESSES . . . . .</b>	<b>29</b>
2.1. Most asset managers have only one or two scenarios in mind . . . . .	30
2.2. Long-term scenarios and geopolitical surprises. . . . .	31
2.2.1. Climate change scenarios and surprises. . . . .	32
2.2.2. Climate changes and migrations . . . . .	36
2.2.3. Geopolitical scenarios and surprises. . . . .	36
2.2.4. Long-term demographic impact . . . . .	37
2.2.5. Economic emergence of the African continent . . . . .	38
2.2.6. Long-term risk valuation methods . . . . .	40
2.3. Five-year scenarios . . . . .	40
2.3.1. Many five-year crisis scenarios are possible in Europe. . . . .	41
2.3.2. Some kinds of Japanese deflationary processes . . . . .	46
2.3.3. Different kinds of deflationary processes in Europe . . . . .	47
2.3.4. Different kinds of systemic banking crisis processes . . . . .	49
2.4. An efficient five-year scenario generator . . . . .	50
2.4.1. Combination of two 5-year generators . . . . .	51
2.4.2. Specific treatment of a social crisis scenario . . . . .	53
2.5. Details on several scenarios . . . . .	55
2.5.1. Scenarios for the Eurozone . . . . .	55
2.5.2. Scenarios for English-speaking countries. . . . .	65
2.5.3. Scenarios for Asia . . . . .	68
2.6. An efficient one-year scenario generator . . . . .	71
2.6.1. One-year generator for negative scenarios . . . . .	71
2.6.2. One-year generator for positive scenarios . . . . .	71
2.6.3. Other issues . . . . .	72
<b>CHAPTER 3. HOW TO USE THESE SCENARIOS FOR ASSET MANAGEMENT? . . . . .</b>	<b>75</b>
3.1. Philosophy of equity portfolio optimization. . . . .	75
3.1.1. Optimization and risk-oriented philosophy. . . . .	75
3.1.2. How and how frequently should we use an economic scenario generator? . . . . .	77

3.1.3. Economic scenario generator for a crisis-prone period . . . . .	79
3.2. Which classic optimization processes are well fitted? . . . . .	82
3.2.1. Capital asset pricing model . . . . .	83
3.2.2. Screening or optimization by arbitrage pricing theory . . . . .	84
3.2.3. Black–Litterman (1992) for more stable results . . . . .	85
3.2.4. Optimization based on benchmarks . . . . .	86
3.2.5. Active management methodology . . . . .	87
3.3. Risk aversion and utility function. . . . .	87
3.3.1. Which risk measures for utility optimization? . . . . .	87
3.3.2. Optimization with classic or not so classic measures of risk . . . . .	89
3.3.3. Is a polynomial utility an improvement? . . . . .	91
3.4. Better fit processes for a crisis. . . . .	93
3.4.1. Markov regime switching optimization best fit for a crisis . . . . .	93
3.4.2. What could be said about the cost when the method is changed? . . . . .	95
3.4.3. Risk diversification: risk parity or risk budgeting? . . . . .	97
3.4.4. Minimum variance policy. . . . .	99
3.4.5. Resilient equity portfolio construction with same weight stock allocation . . . . .	100
3.5. Crisis process for equity portfolio optimization . . . . .	102
3.5.1. What kind of generator to optimize? . . . . .	102
3.5.2. Optimization with risk budgets for crisis resilience control . . . . .	103
3.5.3. Insightful comparisons between optimized portfolios . . . . .	103
3.5.4. ESG and stock management . . . . .	107
3.6. Resilient bond portfolio building . . . . .	117
3.6.1. How could a rate trend turn around?. . . . .	118
3.6.2. A protective bond management . . . . .	119
3.6.3. ESG and bond management. . . . .	121
3.7. Application . . . . .	124
3.8. Conclusion . . . . .	124
<b>CONCLUSION</b> . . . . .	127
<b>APPENDIX</b> . . . . .	129
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b> . . . . .	139
<b>ABBREVIATIONS.</b> . . . . .	147
<b>INDEX</b> . . . . .	151