

---

# Contents

---

<b>Overview: Media, Information Pluralism and Methodological Choices</b> . . . . .	ix
Inna LYUBAREVA and Roger WALDECK	
<b>Chapter 1. Online Platforms and Analysis of Community Dynamics</b> . . . . .	1
Cécile BOTHOREL, Laurent BRISSON and Inna LYUBAREVA	
1.1. Introduction. . . . .	1
1.2. Outline: Ulule and YouTube platforms . . . . .	4
1.3. Exploring the social network and communities on the Ulule platform. . . . .	6
1.3.1. Platform, data and construction of the social graph . . . . .	6
1.3.2. Algorithmic approaches for the detection of communities on Ulule . . . . .	9
1.3.3. Typology of community forms . . . . .	12
1.4. Exploring the social network and communities on the YouTube platform. . . . .	18
1.4.1. YouTube: data and construction of interaction graph. . . . .	18
1.4.2. Construction of evolving communities . . . . .	21
1.4.3. Community evolution graph . . . . .	24
1.4.4. Description of the evolutionary forms of communities. . . . .	27
1.4.5. Forms of community development on YouTube . . . . .	28
1.5. Conclusion . . . . .	35
1.6. References . . . . .	38

<b>Chapter 2. Echo Chambers and Opinion Dynamics: An Agent-Based Modeling Approach</b> . . . . .	43
Julien MÉSANGEAU and Roger WALDECK	
2.1. Introduction. . . . .	43
2.2. Agent-based modeling . . . . .	45
2.2.1. ABM modeling principles. . . . .	45
2.2.2. The modeling cycle and the ODD representation . . . . .	50
2.3. The sociology of echo chambers . . . . .	52
2.3.1. A descriptive definition of the echo chamber . . . . .	53
2.3.2. Reducing the echo chamber phenomenon into simple factors for modeling . . . . .	55
2.4. Modeling echo chambers. . . . .	56
2.4.1. The Netlogo model . . . . .	56
2.4.2. ODD for the echo chamber model . . . . .	59
2.4.3. A short review on the modeling of opinion dynamics . . . . .	64
2.4.4. Results of the Netlogo model simulations . . . . .	68
2.5. Conclusion . . . . .	78
2.6. References . . . . .	79
<b>Chapter 3. Diversity of Sources and Pluralism of Journalistic Framing: A Qualitative–Quantitative Sociosemiotic Approach</b> . . . . .	83
Dario COMPAGNO and Emmanuel MARTY	
3.1. The sources: interfaces between writing and reality . . . . .	83
3.2. Theoretical background. . . . .	87
3.2.1. Framing theories . . . . .	87
3.2.2. Toward a typology of sources . . . . .	89
3.2.3. Citing sources . . . . .	92
3.2.4. Formalizing hypotheses about discourse . . . . .	94
3.3. Processing and analysis of the corpus . . . . .	95
3.3.1. Scope and corpus . . . . .	95
3.3.2. Processing procedures and analysis . . . . .	96
3.3.3. Processing and corpus enrichment . . . . .	97
3.3.4. Analysis and results . . . . .	99
3.4. Discussion and conclusion . . . . .	106
3.5. References . . . . .	110

---

<b>Chapter 4. Interviews as a Research Method for Understanding Online Information Pluralism</b> . . . . .	115
Pauline AMIEL and Alexandre JOUX	
4.1. Introduction: the tools used by qualitative approaches in journalism studies . . . . .	115
4.2. Semi-structured interviews to gather the views of stakeholders . . . . .	117
4.2.1. Interview methods . . . . .	117
4.2.2. The interview as a means to understanding the dynamics of online information pluralism . . . . .	120
4.3. Conclusion . . . . .	130
4.4. References . . . . .	130
<b>Chapter 5. Media and Information Pluralism Through the Lens of Law</b> . . . . .	133
Dominique BOUGEROL	
5.1. Introduction. . . . .	133
5.2. Pluralism in European law . . . . .	136
5.2.1. Council of European law . . . . .	136
5.2.2. European Union law . . . . .	139
5.3. Pluralism in French law . . . . .	147
5.3.1. Pluralism in the constitution . . . . .	147
5.3.2. Pluralism in legislation. . . . .	151
5.4. Conclusion . . . . .	165
5.5. References . . . . .	167
<b>List of Authors</b> . . . . .	177
<b>Index</b> . . . . .	179