

---

# Contents

---

<b>Foreword</b> . . . . .	ix
<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	xi
<b>Acknowledgments</b> . . . . .	xxiii
<b>Chapter 1. Algorithmic Urban Planning: The Return of Experts</b> . . . . .	1
1.1 Introduction . . . . .	1
1.2. From technological breakthroughs to urban planning transformations . . . . .	2
1.2.1. City and technique: centralization or decentralization? . . . . .	2
1.2.2. Cities in the age of Big Data . . . . .	6
1.2.3. Big Data to better understand the territories and urban planning actors. . . . .	8
1.3. What is the genesis of the smart city? . . . . .	14
1.3.1. Origins of the smart city . . . . .	14
1.3.2. Dissemination of the models . . . . .	16
1.3.3. Local acceptance of models. . . . .	20
1.4. The return of rational planning under a smart veneer . . . . .	28
1.4.1. Actors: behind the geek urban planner aspect, the return of the engineer . . . . .	29
1.4.2. Processes and methods: toward an algorithmic governance? . . . . .	30
1.4.3. Projects: the dominance of smart. . . . .	32
1.5. Conclusion . . . . .	35

---

<b>Chapter 2. Uberized Urban Planning: Extension of the Area of Urban Capitalism</b> . . . . .	37
2.1. Introduction. . . . .	37
2.2. A new stage in the privatization of cities: from the enhancement of large groups to uberization . . . . .	38
2.2.1. Capitalism in the age of digital technology. . . . .	38
2.2.2. GAFA: Internet giants . . . . .	43
2.2.3. Development of a “sharing” economy. . . . .	47
2.3. Territorial effects on the ability of public actors to develop and manage the city . . . . .	53
2.3.1. Paris, the world capital of Airbnb . . . . .	53
2.3.2. The legitimacy of planning challenged by the sharing economy . . . . .	57
2.4. No longer planning against but with the sharing economy? . . . . .	58
2.5. Renewal of strategic planning under an innovative veneer . . . . .	60
2.5.1. Actors: behind the start-up’s figure, challenging the planner . . . . .	62
2.5.2. Processes and methods: from disintermediation to the city of offer . . . . .	63
2.5.3. Projects: the dominance of private technological devices . . . . .	64
2.6. Conclusion . . . . .	65
<b>Chapter 3. A Wiki-Urban Planning: Searching for an Alternative City</b> . . . . .	67
3.1. Introduction. . . . .	67
3.2. New digital resources for non-governmental actors . . . . .	68
3.2.1. Review of the liberal and libertarian origins of the Internet . . . . .	68
3.2.2. From an expansion of public space and activist resources to the development of solutions . . . . .	69
3.2.3. Digital and common goods in the city. . . . .	76
3.3. Civic mobilizations 2.0 for spatial planning. . . . .	79
3.3.1. Controversies and resistances 2.0 in planning, the example of China . . . . .	79
3.3.2. Public debate 2.0 on planning, the example of Marseille . . . . .	85

---

3.4. The renewal of communicative planning under a veneer 2.0. . . . .	96
3.4.1. Actors: behind the image of the hacker, the return of an activist urban planner . . . . .	97
3.4.2. Processes and methods: towards an urban cyberdemocracy? . . . . .	100
3.4.3. Projects: the challenge of platform design creating the conditions for deliberation . . . . .	102
3.5. Conclusion . . . . .	103
<b>Chapter 4. Open-Source Urban Planning: The Renewal of Planning Institutional Practices . . . . .</b>	<b>105</b>
4.1. Introduction. . . . .	105
4.2. Introduction of planning processes . . . . .	106
4.2.1. From the increase in challenges to the emergence of participatory mechanisms . . . . .	106
4.2.2. The digital, new imagination of participation . . . . .	109
4.3. The challenge of defining and testing the sociotechnical devices of online participation: the case of Paris . . . . .	117
4.3.1. From participatory to digital milestone . . . . .	117
4.3.2. Public debate on social networks: the case of exchanges around the Paris Council on Twitter . . . . .	119
4.3.3. The digitization of a regulatory urban planning procedure: the case of the consultation for the modification of the PLU. . . . .	125
4.3.4. Creation of a new digital device: the case of the participatory budget and “Madame la Maire, j’ai une idée!” (Madam Mayor, I have an idea) platform . . . . .	132
4.4. New tools to make the collaborative milestone of planning effective? . . . . .	137
4.4.1. Actors: behind the figure of Civic Tech, the evolution of the urban planner’s role as a digital mediator . . . . .	138
4.4.2. Processes and methods: from the platform to participatory urban planning? . . . . .	139
4.4.3. Projects: in search of the public . . . . .	142
4.5. Conclusion . . . . .	143
<b>Conclusion . . . . .</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>Bibliography . . . . .</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>Index . . . . .</b>	<b>173</b>