
Contents

Foreword	ix
Philippe GUILLERMAIN† and François SCHLOSSER	
Entrepreneur’s Tribune: Geotechnics is at the Heart of Our Projects	xi
Pascal LEMOINE and Eric DURAND	
Preface	xiii
Acknowledgments	xix
Symbols and Notations	xxi
Introduction	lv
Chapter 1. Foundations: Behavior, Design, and Justification	1
1.1. Analogies and differences between foundations	1
1.1.1. Foundations and their integration into the geotechnical project. . .	1
1.1.2. Method of operation and similarities in behavior	3
1.1.3. Photos providing demonstrations of foundations	6
1.2. Shallow foundations.	7
1.2.1. Types of shallow foundations.	7
1.2.2. Behavior of a load-bearing footing	9

1.2.3. Load-bearing capacity of the subsoil	10
1.2.4. The special case of a footing under an eccentric load.	22
1.2.5. Special cases of footings under an inclined load on horizontal ground.	25
1.2.6. The special case of a footing on the crest of a slope	26
1.2.7. The case of a footing on two layers	29
1.2.8. The case of a footing on a dual layer: soft soil on top of a nearby substratum	30
1.2.9. Calculation of settlements under footings	31
1.2.10. Special cases: constructive provisions	38
1.3. Superficial foundations on rafts	40
1.3.1. Roles and types of rafts	40
1.3.2. Load-bearing capacity of soil under rafts.	41
1.3.3. Settlements under rafts.	41
1.4. Deep foundations	43
1.4.1. Preamble	43
1.4.2. Insulated pile under axial load	44
1.4.3. Isolated pile under lateral reactions	71
1.4.4. Effect of groups of piles	81
1.4.5. Justification of deep foundations	86
1.5. For the special case of foundation blocks subjected to reverse forces	93
1.5.1. The elastic center method	94
1.5.2. The rotation method	95
1.5.3. Simplified method	96
1.5.4. The “State Network” method	98
1.6. Consideration for other forces on the foundations	98
1.6.1. Spurious stresses due to soil swelling	98
1.6.2. Parasitic stress due to soil shrinkage.	100
1.6.3. Seismic actions on piles: simplified Souloumiac method	100
1.6.4. The special case of vibrating machines on a non-deformable foundation block	102
1.7. Threshold displacements of the structure	103
1.7.1. Absolute settlements	103
1.7.2. Differential settlements (relative settlements)	104
 Chapter 2. Real Projects and Comparisons of Methods and Referentials	 109
2.1. Study of an apartment building on shallow footings.	109
2.1.1. Project criteria.	109
2.1.2. Soil data and foundation solution	110

2.1.3. Justification of the footings using the pressuremeter method	112
2.1.4. Justifications of the footings under the “Eurocodes” using the pressuremeter test (MPT)	113
2.1.5. Estimation of settlements (Ménard’s rule T0)	119
2.1.6. Comparison of calculation methods in terms of stresses	120
2.1.7. Impact of footing dimensions	129
2.1.8. Comparison of methods in terms of settlements	133
2.1.9. Determining the footing reaction coefficients	139
2.1.10. Footing stiffness	141
2.1.11. Practical rules for calculating the stiffness of footings	148
2.1.12. Reinforcement of footings	151
2.1.13. Economic analysis: do not just bury concrete for no reason!	153
2.2. Study of an office building on piles under axial loads	157
2.2.1. Project criteria	157
2.2.2. Soil data and foundation solution	158
2.2.3. Soil/pile interaction parameters and loads	159
2.2.4. Justification of the piles following the “Eurocodes”	160
2.2.5. Comparative study of the various regulations using the MPT method	173
2.2.6. Impact of the number of pile and soil tests (n)	177
2.2.7. Influence of the net limit pressure of the “MPT” method	180
2.2.8. Comparison of empirical methods of pile calculation	182
2.2.9. Axial stiffness of deep foundations	196
2.3. Horizontally loaded piles	202
2.3.1. Piles under parasitic horizontal pressure: application of the G(z) method	202
2.3.2. Analysis of pressures and moments using the “Tschebotarioff Method”	208
2.3.3. Evaluation of the negative friction on the piles	210
2.3.4. Rigidities at the top of horizontally stressed piles	212
2.4. Reinforcement of deep foundations	215
2.4.1. Reinforcement according to “static” standards	215
2.4.2. Reinforcement by “seismic” reference bases	218
2.5. Settlement of a general raft	226
2.5.1. Calculation of stresses and settlements	226
2.5.2. Reaction coefficient under raft	228
2.5.3. Reinforcement of the raft	233
2.6. Study of a road embankment on soft ground	235
2.6.1. Project	235
2.6.2. Data for the soil	236
2.6.3. Calculation of the bearing capacity of silty clays	239
2.6.4. Estimation of soil settlement under the embankment	240
2.6.5. Time of consolidation settlements	240

Chapter 3. Observations from Experience, Illustrative Examples, and Practical Appendices	243
3.1. The case of rafts in high-rise buildings.	243
3.2. The case of strip footings.	248
3.3. Behavior of piles under an axial load.	250
3.4. Embankment settlement: Asaoka's method (1978)	251
3.5. Summary and useful information	253
3.6. What not to do!.	258
3.6.1. Early 1990s: metal piles driven into chalk	258
3.6.2. 2010: poorly anchored piles in compact marls.	259
3.6.3. 2008: substrate misidentified via cone penetration test.	259
3.6.4. 2011: inadequate soil survey for pile anchoring	260
3.6.5. Damage still not settled since late 2000: "collapsible soils".	261
3.7. Wise conclusion	264
3.8. Appendices	265
3.8.1. Appendix 1: combinations of loads	265
3.8.2. Appendix 2: diffusion of stresses as a function of depth	267
3.8.3. Appendix 3: foundation blocks subject to overturning – methods for resolution.	283
3.8.4. Appendix 4: determination of the stress bulb at ultimate limit states (ULS) by the pressuremeter method according to Eurocode 7 (standard NF P94 261, normative Appendix D).	292
3.8.5. Appendix 5: calculation of footing stiffness	294
3.8.6. Appendix 6: calculation of pile rigidities	323
3.8.7. Appendix 7: bearing capacity of piles under a vertical load.	335
3.8.8. Appendix 8: frost protection in France	337
3.8.9. Appendix 9: earthquake and soil liquefaction	339
 References	 361
 French, European and ISO Standards in the Field of Geotechnics	 369
 Index	 401
 Summaries of Other Volumes	 405