

---

# Contents

---

<b>Acknowledgments</b> . . . . .	ix
<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	xi
<b>Part 1. The Relationship between Innovation and Export in SMEs</b> . . . . .	1
<b>Chapter 1. The Innovation–Export Relationship: A Complex Vision</b> . . . . .	3
1.1. The innovation–export link: a controversial debate . . . . .	4
1.1.1. In the industrial world: a compartmentalized vision . . . . .	4
1.1.2. In the academic world: a causalist vision questioned . . . . .	8
1.2. Towards a paradigm shift . . . . .	16
1.2.1. Moving from analytical thinking to complex thinking . . . . .	16
1.2.2. Theoretical framework: articulation of mobilized theories. . . . .	21
1.2.3. The application of the complexity paradigm to SME innovation and export activities . . . . .	30
<b>Chapter 2. Joint Innovation–Export Best Practices</b> . . . . .	37
2.1. The construction of a theoretical frame of reference. . . . .	37
2.1.1. Identifying innovation practices: the potential innovation index (PII) . . . . .	38
2.1.2. Identification of export practices . . . . .	41
2.1.3. Towards a joint reference system . . . . .	44
2.2. What about the field? . . . . .	55
2.2.1. Presentation of the consulted companies and method. . . . .	57
2.2.2. Highlighting synergies. . . . .	59
2.2.3. Discussions . . . . .	65

---

<b>Part 2. PE2I, or How to Model Synergies</b> . . . . .	67
<b>Chapter 3. Design of a Joint Diagnosis Dedicated to SMEs: The PE2I</b> . . . . .	69
3.1. The methodological framework . . . . .	69
3.1.1. The methodological background . . . . .	70
3.1.2. The methodological tools used . . . . .	72
3.1.3. PII and PEI as a basis for development . . . . .	74
3.2. The construction of the PE2I . . . . .	78
3.2.1. Step 1: create a maturity profile . . . . .	78
3.2.2. Step 2: weighting and characterization of the evaluation model using multi-criteria analysis tools . . . . .	80
3.2.3. Step 3: identify customized improvement paths . . . . .	84
<b>Chapter 4. Implementation of the PE2I: Test with French SMEs</b> . . . . .	87
4.1. Experimental panel and methodology . . . . .	87
4.1.1. Presentation of the panel . . . . .	88
4.1.2. The conduct of the interviews . . . . .	89
4.2. Presentation of results and observations . . . . .	91
4.2.1. Case 1: company 1 . . . . .	91
4.2.2. Case 2: company 2 . . . . .	96
4.2.3. Case 3: company 3 . . . . .	99
4.2.4. Case 4: company 4 . . . . .	103
4.2.5. Case 5: company 5 . . . . .	106
4.2.6. Case 6: company 6 . . . . .	110
4.3. Assessment . . . . .	113
<b>Chapter 5. Feedback on the PE2I Tool</b> . . . . .	115
5.1. The advantages and limitations of the PE2I tool . . . . .	115
5.1.1. A customizable pedagogical representation tool . . . . .	115
5.1.2. Operational difficulties . . . . .	117
5.2. Prospects for improvement . . . . .	120
5.2.1. Operationalizing the evaluation: reconciling the constraints of the field . . . . .	121
5.2.2. Contextualization of the recommendation system: taking into account differentiating factors . . . . .	123
5.2.3. Proposing evidence-based recommendations: an operational action plan to promote synergies . . . . .	126

<b>Conclusion</b> .....	129
<b>References</b> .....	141
<b>Index</b> .....	157