

## Table of Contents

<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	xi
Jean-Louis BOULANGER	
<b>Chapter 1. From Classic Languages to Formal Methods</b> . . . . .	1
Jean-Louis BOULANGER	
1.1. Introduction . . . . .	1
1.2. Classic development . . . . .	2
1.2.1. Development process . . . . .	2
1.2.2. Coding . . . . .	6
1.2.3. Specification and architecture . . . . .	18
1.2.4. Verification and validation (V&V) . . . . .	27
1.2.5. Summary . . . . .	33
1.3. Structured, semi-formal and/or formal methods . . . . .	33
1.3.1. E/E/PE system . . . . .	33
1.3.2. Rail sector . . . . .	35
1.3.3. Taking into account techniques and formal methods . . . . .	36
1.4. Formal methods . . . . .	39
1.4.1. Principles . . . . .	39
1.4.2. Examples of formal methods . . . . .	39
1.5. Conclusion . . . . .	45
1.6. Bibliography . . . . .	49
<b>Chapter 2. Formal Method in the Railway Sector the First Complex Application: SAET-METEOR</b> . . . . .	55
Jean-Louis BOULANGER	
2.1. Introduction . . . . .	55
2.2. About SAET-METEOR . . . . .	56
2.2.1. Decomposition of the SAET-METEOR . . . . .	57

2.2.2. Anticollision functions . . . . .	61
2.2.3. Restrictions . . . . .	62
2.3. The supplier realization process . . . . .	62
2.3.1. Historical context . . . . .	62
2.3.2. The hardware aspect . . . . .	64
2.3.3. The software aspect . . . . .	67
2.3.4. Assessment of the processes . . . . .	78
2.4. Process of verification and validation set up by RATP . . . . .	78
2.4.1. Context . . . . .	78
2.4.2. RATP methodology . . . . .	79
2.4.3. Verification carried out by RATP . . . . .	79
2.4.4. Validation . . . . .	103
2.4.5. Assessment of RATP activity . . . . .	112
2.5. Assessment of the global approach . . . . .	114
2.6. Conclusion . . . . .	115
2.7. Appendix . . . . .	116
2.7.1. Object of the track . . . . .	116
2.7.2. Block logic . . . . .	120
2.8. Bibliography . . . . .	122
<b>Chapter 3. The B Method and B Tools . . . . .</b>	<b>127</b>
Jean-Louis BOULANGER	
3.1. Introduction . . . . .	127
3.2. The B method . . . . .	128
3.2.1. The concept of abstract machines . . . . .	128
3.2.2. Machines with implementations . . . . .	133
3.3. Verification and validation (V&V) . . . . .	137
3.3.1. Internal verification . . . . .	137
3.4. B tools . . . . .	141
3.4.1. General principles . . . . .	141
3.4.2. Code generation . . . . .	142
3.4.3. Prover . . . . .	142
3.4.4. Atelier B . . . . .	144
3.5. Methodology . . . . .	146
3.5.1. Layered development . . . . .	146
3.5.2. Link between the project structure and the POs . . . . .	148
3.5.3. Cycle of development of a B project . . . . .	148
3.6. Feedback . . . . .	150
3.6.1. Some figures . . . . .	150
3.6.2. Some users . . . . .	151
3.7. Conclusion . . . . .	155
3.8. Bibliography . . . . .	155

<b>Chapter 4. Model-Based Design Using Simulink – Modeling, Code Generation, Verification, and Validation</b> . . . . .	159
Mirko CONRAD and Pieter J. MOSTERMAN	
4.1. Introduction . . . . .	159
4.2. Embedded software development using Model-Based Design . . . . .	162
4.3. Case study – an electronic throttle control system . . . . .	164
4.3.1. System overview . . . . .	164
4.3.2. Simulink® model . . . . .	164
4.3.3. Automatic code generation . . . . .	169
4.3.4. Code optimization . . . . .	170
4.3.5. Fixed-point code . . . . .	170
4.3.6. Including legacy code . . . . .	172
4.3.7. Importing interface definitions . . . . .	172
4.3.8. Importing algorithms . . . . .	173
4.4. Verification and validation of models and generated code . . . . .	173
4.4.1. Integrating verification and validation with Model-Based Design . . . . .	173
4.4.2. Design verification . . . . .	175
4.4.3. Reviews and static analyses at the model level . . . . .	175
4.4.4. Module and integration testing at the model level . . . . .	175
4.4.5. Code verification . . . . .	176
4.4.6. Back-to-back comparison testing between model and code . . . . .	176
4.4.7. Measures to prevent unintended functionality . . . . .	176
4.5. Compliance with safety standards . . . . .	177
4.6. Conclusion . . . . .	178
4.7. Bibliography . . . . .	178
<b>Chapter 5. Proving Global Properties with the Aid of the SIMULINK DESIGN VERIFIER Proof Tool</b> . . . . .	183
Véronique DELEBARRE and Jean-Frédéric ETIENNE	
5.1. Introduction . . . . .	183
5.2. Formal proof or verification method . . . . .	184
5.2.1. Model verification . . . . .	186
5.2.2. Formal methods and proof of correction . . . . .	189
5.2.3. Combining models and proof tools . . . . .	192
5.3. Implementation of the SIMULINK DESIGN VERIFIER tool. . . . .	193
5.3.1. Reminders of the MATLAB modeling and verification environment . . . . .	194
5.3.2. Case study . . . . .	201
5.3.3. Modeling . . . . .	204
5.3.4. Modeling . . . . .	211
5.4. Experience feedback and methodological aspects . . . . .	211

5.4.1. Modeling rules and convergence control . . . . .	211
5.4.2. Modular proof phase . . . . .	213
5.4.3. Proof of global properties . . . . .	214
5.4.4. Detection of counterexamples . . . . .	217
5.5. Study case feedback and conclusions . . . . .	218
5.5.1. SIMULINK model . . . . .	218
5.5.2. Proofs achieved . . . . .	218
5.5.3. Incremental verification approach . . . . .	220
5.6. Contributions of the methodology compared with the EN50128 normative referential . . . . .	220
5.7. Bibliography . . . . .	222
<b>Chapter 6. SCADE: Implementation and Applications . . . . .</b>	<b>225</b>
Jean-Louis CAMUS	
6.1. Introduction . . . . .	225
6.2. Issues of embedded safety-critical software . . . . .	225
6.2.1. Characteristics of embedded safety-critical software . . . . .	225
6.2.2. Architecture of an embedded safety-critical application . . . . .	226
6.2.3. Criticality and normative requirements for embedded safety-critical applications . . . . .	226
6.2.4. Complexity, cost and delays . . . . .	227
6.3. Origins of SCADE . . . . .	228
6.3.1. Introduction . . . . .	228
6.3.2. Initial industrial approaches . . . . .	228
6.3.3. “Real-time” extensions of current languages . . . . .	230
6.3.4. Synchronous formal languages dedicated to “real-time” created in laboratories . . . . .	230
6.3.5. Birth of SCADE . . . . .	231
6.4. The SCADE data-flow language . . . . .	231
6.4.1. Introduction . . . . .	231
6.4.2. Synchronous language . . . . .	232
6.4.3. “Data-flow” functional language . . . . .	233
6.4.4. Scalar data types . . . . .	234
6.4.5. Structured data types . . . . .	235
6.4.6. Clocks, temporal operators, and causality . . . . .	235
6.4.7. Selectors . . . . .	237
6.4.8. Imperative structures . . . . .	238
6.4.9. Rigor and functional safety . . . . .	239
6.5. Conclusion: extensions of languages for controllers and iterative processing . . . . .	240
6.5.1. Objectives . . . . .	240
6.5.2. Control flow . . . . .	241
6.5.3. Iterative processing . . . . .	243

6.6. The SCADE system	246
6.6.1. Outline of the SCADE workbench	246
6.6.2. Model verification	247
6.6.3. Performance prediction	252
6.6.4. The qualified code generator	253
6.7. Application of SCADE in the aeronautical industry	256
6.7.1. History: Aérospatiale and Thales Avionique	256
6.7.2. Control/command type applications	257
6.7.3. Monitoring/alarm type applications	260
6.7.4. Navigation systems	261
6.8 Application of SCADE in the rail industry	261
6.8.1. First applications	262
6.8.2. Applications developed for the RATP and other French metros	262
6.8.3. Generic PAI-NG applications	263
6.8.4. Example of automated door control	264
6.9. Application of SCADE in the nuclear and other industries	265
6.9.1. Applications in the nuclear industry	265
6.9.2. Deployment of SCADE in the civil nuclear industry	268
6.10. Conclusion	269
6.11. Bibliography	270
<b>Chapter 7. GATeL: A V&amp;V Platform for SCADE Models</b>	<b>273</b>
Bruno MARRE, Benjamin BIANC, Patricia MOUY and Christophe JUNKE	
7.1. Introduction	273
7.2. SCADE language	275
7.3. GATeL prerequisites	276
7.3.1. GATeL kernel	277
7.3.2. Example	278
7.4. Assistance in the design of test selection strategies	279
7.4.1. Unfolding of SCADE operators	279
7.4.2. Functional scenarios	281
7.5. Performances	283
7.6. Conclusion	284
7.7. Bibliography	285
<b>Chapter 8. ControlBuild, a Development Framework for Control Engineering</b>	<b>287</b>
Franck CORBIER	
8.1. Introduction	287
8.2. Development of the control system	289
8.2.1. ERTMS	290
8.2.2. Development process equipment	291

8.2.3. A component-based approach . . . . .	293
8.2.4. Development methodology . . . . .	294
8.3. Formalisms used . . . . .	300
8.3.1. Assembly editor . . . . .	301
8.3.2. IEC1131-3 languages for embedded control . . . . .	302
8.3.3. Electrical schematics for conventional control . . . . .	309
8.3.4. Electromechanical and physical environment . . . . .	311
8.4. Safety arrangements . . . . .	311
8.4.1. Metrics . . . . .	313
8.4.2. Assertions . . . . .	314
8.4.3. Automatic test procedure execution . . . . .	314
8.4.4. Functional tests . . . . .	315
8.4.5. Code coverage . . . . .	315
8.4.6. SSIL2 code generation . . . . .	315
8.4.7. Management of the project documentation . . . . .	316
8.4.8. Traceability of requirements . . . . .	317
8.5. Examples of railway use cases . . . . .	318
8.5.1. Specification validation . . . . .	318
8.5.2. TCMS development . . . . .	319
8.5.3. Progressive integration bench – HiL . . . . .	320
8.6. Conclusion . . . . .	323
8.7. Bibliography . . . . .	323
<b>Chapter 9. Conclusion . . . . .</b>	<b>325</b>
Jean-Louis BOULANGER	
9.1. Introduction . . . . .	325
9.2. Problems . . . . .	326
9.3. Summary . . . . .	327
9.3.1. Model verification . . . . .	327
9.3.2. Properties and requirements . . . . .	328
9.3.3. Implementation of formal methods . . . . .	330
9.4. Implementing formal methods . . . . .	332
9.4.1. Conventional process . . . . .	332
9.4.2. Process accounting for formal methods . . . . .	333
9.4.3. Problems . . . . .	335
9.5. Realization of a software application . . . . .	337
9.6. Conclusion . . . . .	339
9.7. Bibliography . . . . .	340
<b>Glossary . . . . .</b>	<b>345</b>
<b>List of Authors . . . . .</b>	<b>351</b>
<b>Index . . . . .</b>	<b>353</b>