

## Table of Contents

<b>Preface</b> . . . . .	13
<b>Chapter 1. Introduction to Flexibility and Robustness in Scheduling</b> . . . .	15
Jean-Charles BILLAUT, Aziz MOUKRIM and Eric SANLAVILLE	
1.1. Scheduling problems . . . . .	15
1.1.1. Machine environments . . . . .	16
1.1.2. Characteristics of tasks . . . . .	17
1.1.3. Optimality criteria . . . . .	18
1.2. Background to the study . . . . .	19
1.3. Uncertainty management . . . . .	20
1.3.1. Sources of uncertainty . . . . .	21
1.3.2. Uncertainty of models . . . . .	22
1.3.3. Possible methods for problem solving . . . . .	23
1.3.3.1. Full solution process of a scheduling problem with uncertainties . . . . .	23
1.3.3.2. Proactive approach . . . . .	24
1.3.3.3. Proactive/reactive approach . . . . .	24
1.3.3.4. Reactive approach . . . . .	25
1.4. Flexibility . . . . .	25
1.5. Robustness . . . . .	26
1.5.1. Flexibility as a robustness indicator . . . . .	27
1.5.2. Schedule stability (solution robustness) . . . . .	28
1.5.3. Stability relatively to a performance criterion (quality robustness)	29
1.5.4. Respect of a fixed performance threshold . . . . .	30
1.5.5. Deviation measures with respect to the optimum . . . . .	30
1.5.6. Sensitivity and robustness . . . . .	31
1.6. Bibliography . . . . .	31

**Chapter 2. Robustness in Operations Research and Decision Aiding . . . . . 35**

Bernard ROY

2.1. Overview . . . . .	35
2.1.1. Robust in OR-DA with meaning? . . . . .	36
2.1.2. Why the concern for robustness? . . . . .	37
2.1.3. Plan of the chapter . . . . .	38
2.2. Where do “vague approximations” and “zones of ignorance” come from? – the concept of version . . . . .	38
2.2.1. Sources of inaccurate determination, uncertainty and imprecision . . . . .	38
2.2.2. DAP formulation: the concept of version . . . . .	40
2.3. Defining some currently used terms . . . . .	41
2.3.1. Procedures, results and methods . . . . .	41
2.3.2. Two types of procedures and methods . . . . .	42
2.3.3. Conclusions relative to a set $\tilde{R}$ of results . . . . .	43
2.4. How to take the robustness concern into consideration . . . . .	43
2.4.1. What must be robust? . . . . .	44
2.4.2. What are the conditions for validating robustness? . . . . .	45
2.4.3. How can we define the set of pairs of procedures and versions to take into account? . . . . .	46
2.5. Conclusion . . . . .	47
2.6. Bibliography . . . . .	47

**Chapter 3. The Robustness of Multi-Purpose Machines Workshop Configuration . . . . . 53**

Marie-Laure ESPINOUSE, Mireille JACOMINO and André ROSSI

3.1. Introduction . . . . .	53
3.2. Problem presentation . . . . .	53
3.2.1. Modeling the workshop . . . . .	54
3.2.1.1. Production resources . . . . .	54
3.2.1.2. Modeling the workshop demand . . . . .	55
3.2.2. Modeling disturbances on the data . . . . .	55
3.2.3. Performance versus robustness: load balance and stability radius . . . . .	57
3.2.3.1. Performance criterion for a configuration . . . . .	57
3.2.3.2. Robustness . . . . .	57
3.3. Performance measurement . . . . .	57
3.3.1. Stage one: minimizing the maximum completion time . . . . .	57
3.3.2. Computing a production plan minimizing machine workload . . . . .	59
3.3.3. The particular case of uniform machines . . . . .	60
3.4. Robustness evaluation . . . . .	61
3.4.1. Finding the demands for which the production plan is balanced . . . . .	61
3.4.2. Stability radius . . . . .	64
3.4.3. Graphic representation . . . . .	65

3.5. Extension: reconfiguration problem . . . . .	68
3.5.1. Consequence of adding a qualification to the matrix $Q$ . . . . .	68
3.5.2. Theoretical example . . . . .	69
3.5.3. Industrial example . . . . .	70
3.6. Conclusion and perspectives . . . . .	70
3.7. Bibliography . . . . .	71
<b>Chapter 4. Sensitivity Analysis for One and <math>m</math> Machines</b> . . . . .	<b>73</b>
Amine MAHJOUB, Aziz MOUKRIM, Christophe RAPINE and Eric SANLAVILLE	
4.1. Sensitivity analysis . . . . .	74
4.2. Single machine problems . . . . .	78
4.2.1. Some analysis from the literature . . . . .	78
4.2.2. Machine initial unavailability for $1\ \sum U_j$ . . . . .	79
4.2.2.1. Problem presentation . . . . .	79
4.2.2.2. Sensitivity of the HM algorithm . . . . .	80
4.2.2.3. Hypotheses and notations . . . . .	80
4.2.2.4. The two scenario case . . . . .	81
4.3. $m$ -machine problems without communication delays . . . . .	83
4.3.1. Parametric analysis . . . . .	83
4.3.2. Example of global analysis: $Pm\ \sum C_j$ . . . . .	85
4.4. The $m$ -machine problems with communication delays . . . . .	87
4.4.1. Notations and definitions . . . . .	88
4.4.2. The two-machine case . . . . .	90
4.4.3. The $m$ -machine case . . . . .	92
4.4.3.1. Some results in a deterministic setting . . . . .	92
4.4.3.2. Framework for sensitivity analysis . . . . .	93
4.4.3.3. Stability studies . . . . .	93
4.4.3.4. Sensitivity bounds . . . . .	94
4.5. Conclusion . . . . .	95
4.6. Bibliography . . . . .	96
<b>Chapter 5. Service Level in Scheduling</b> . . . . .	<b>99</b>
Stéphane DAUZÈRE-PÉRÈS, Philippe CASTAGLIOLA and Chams LAHLOU	
5.1. Introduction . . . . .	99
5.2. Motivations . . . . .	101
5.3. Optimization of the service level: application to the flow-shop problem . . . . .	103
5.3.1. Criteria computation . . . . .	103
5.3.2. Processing time generation . . . . .	104
5.3.3. Experimental results . . . . .	106
5.4. Computation of a schedule service level . . . . .	109
5.4.1. Introduction . . . . .	110
5.4.2. FORM (First Order Reliability Method) . . . . .	111
5.4.3. FORM vs Monte Carlo . . . . .	112

5.5. Conclusions . . . . .	118
5.6. Bibliography . . . . .	119
<b>Chapter 6. Metaheuristics for Robust Planning and Scheduling . . . . .</b>	<b>123</b>
Marc SEVAUX, Kenneth SÖRENSEN and Yann LE QUÉRÉ	
6.1. Introduction . . . . .	123
6.2. A general framework for metaheuristic robust optimization . . . . .	124
6.2.1. General considerations . . . . .	124
6.2.2. An example using a genetic algorithm . . . . .	126
6.3. Single-machine scheduling application . . . . .	127
6.3.1. Minimizing the number of late jobs on a single machine . . . . .	127
6.3.2. Uncertainty of deliveries . . . . .	129
6.3.2.1. Considered problem . . . . .	129
6.3.2.2. Robust evaluation function . . . . .	129
6.3.3. Results . . . . .	130
6.4. Application to the planning of maintenance tasks . . . . .	132
6.4.1. SNCF maintenance problem . . . . .	133
6.4.2. Uncertainties of an operational factory . . . . .	134
6.4.3. A robust schedule . . . . .	135
6.4.3.1. Variations of the unexpected factors . . . . .	137
6.5. Conclusions and perspectives . . . . .	139
6.6. Bibliography . . . . .	140
<b>Chapter 7. Metaheuristics and Performance Evaluation Models for the Stochastic Permutation Flow-Shop Scheduling Problem . . . . .</b>	<b>143</b>
Michel GOURGAND, Nathalie GRANGEON and Sylvie NORRE	
7.1. Problem presentation . . . . .	144
7.2. Performance evaluation problem . . . . .	147
7.2.1. Markovian analysis . . . . .	147
7.2.2. Monte Carlo simulation . . . . .	153
7.3. Scheduling problem . . . . .	155
7.3.1. Comparison of two schedules . . . . .	156
7.3.2. Stochastic descent for the minimization in expectation . . . . .	157
7.3.3. Inhomogenous simulated annealing for the minimization in expectation . . . . .	157
7.3.4. Kangaroo algorithm for the minimization in expectation . . . . .	159
7.3.5. Neighboring systems . . . . .	161
7.4. Computational experiment . . . . .	161
7.4.1. Exponential distribution . . . . .	162
7.4.2. Uniform distribution function . . . . .	164
7.4.3. Normal distribution function . . . . .	167
7.5. Conclusion . . . . .	167
7.6. Bibliography . . . . .	168

<b>Chapter 8. Resource Allocation for the Construction of Robust Project Schedules</b>	171
Christian ARTIGUES, Roel LEUS and Willy HERROELEN	
8.1. Introduction	171
8.2. Resource allocation and resource flows	173
8.2.1. Definitions and notation	173
8.2.2. Resource flow networks	174
8.2.3. A greedy method for obtaining a feasible flow	176
8.2.4. Reactions to disruptions	176
8.3. A branch-and-bound procedure for resource allocation	178
8.3.1. Activity duration disruptions and stability	178
8.3.2. Problem statement and branching scheme	179
8.3.3. Details of the branch-and-bound algorithm	180
8.3.4. Testing for the existence of a feasible flow	182
8.3.5. Branching rules	183
8.3.6. Computational experiments	184
8.3.6.1. Experimental setup	184
8.3.6.2. Branching schemes	185
8.3.6.3. Comparison with the greedy heuristic	187
8.4. A polynomial algorithm for activity insertion	187
8.4.1. Insertion problem formulation	188
8.4.2. Evaluation of a feasible insertion	189
8.4.3. Insertion feasibility conditions	190
8.4.4. Sufficient insertions and insertion cuts	191
8.4.5. Insertion dominance conditions	192
8.4.6. An algorithm for enumerating dominant sufficient insertions	193
8.4.7. Experimental results	193
8.5. Conclusion	194
8.6. Bibliography	195
<b>Chapter 9. Constraint-based Approaches for Robust Scheduling</b>	199
Cyril BRIAND, Marie-José HUGUET, Hoang Trung LA and Pierre LOPEZ	
9.1. Introduction	199
9.2. Necessary/sufficient/dominant conditions and partial orders	200
9.3. Interval structures, tops, bases and pyramids	201
9.4. Necessary conditions for a generic approach to robust scheduling	202
9.4.1. Introduction	202
9.4.2. Scheduling problems under consideration	204
9.4.3. Necessary feasibility conditions	205
9.4.4. Propagation mechanisms	206
9.4.4.1. Time constraint propagation	206
9.4.4.2. Resource constraint propagation	207

10 Flexibility and Robustness in Scheduling

9.4.5. Interval structures for propagation . . . . .	208
9.4.5.1. Rank-interval based structures . . . . .	208
9.4.5.2. Task-interval based structures . . . . .	210
9.4.6. Discussion . . . . .	212
9.5. Using dominance conditions or sufficient conditions . . . . .	213
9.5.1. The single machine scheduling problem . . . . .	213
9.5.2. The two-machine flow-shop problem . . . . .	217
9.5.3. Future prospects . . . . .	221
9.6. Conclusion . . . . .	222
9.7. Bibliography . . . . .	222

**Chapter 10. Scheduling Operation Groups: A Multicriteria Approach to Provide Sequential Flexibility . . . . . 227**

Carl ESSWEIN, Jean-Charles BILLAUT and Christian ARTIGUES

10.1. Introduction . . . . .	227
10.2. Groups of permutable operations . . . . .	228
10.2.1. History, principles and definitions . . . . .	228
10.2.2. Representation and evaluation . . . . .	230
10.2.2.1. Earliest start time computation . . . . .	232
10.2.2.2. Latest completion time computation . . . . .	234
10.2.2.3. Quality of a group schedule . . . . .	234
10.3. The ORABAID approach . . . . .	235
10.3.1. The proactive phase: searching for a feasible and acceptable group schedule . . . . .	235
10.3.1.1. Construction of a feasible group schedule . . . . .	236
10.3.1.2. Searching for acceptability of the group schedule . . . . .	237
10.3.1.3. Increasing the group schedule flexibility . . . . .	237
10.3.2. The reactive phase: real-time decision aid . . . . .	237
10.3.3. Some conclusions about ORABAID . . . . .	238
10.4. AMORFE, a multicriteria approach . . . . .	238
10.4.1. Flexibility evaluation of a group schedule . . . . .	239
10.4.2. Evaluation of the quality of a group schedule . . . . .	240
10.4.3. Some considerations about the objective function definition . . . . .	241
10.4.4. Quality guarantee in the best case . . . . .	243
10.4.4.1. Advantages . . . . .	243
10.4.4.2. Respect for quality in the best case . . . . .	243
10.5. Application to several scheduling problems . . . . .	244
10.6. Conclusion . . . . .	246
10.7. Bibliography . . . . .	246

**Chapter 11. A Flexible Proactive-Reactive Approach: The Case of an Assembly Workshop . . . . . 249**

Mohamed Ali ALOULOU and Marie-Claude PORTMANN

11.1. Context . . . . .	249
-------------------------	-----

11.2. Definition of the control model . . . . .	251
11.2.1. Definition of the problem and its environment . . . . .	251
11.2.2. Definition of a solution to the problem . . . . .	251
11.2.3. Definition of the solution quality . . . . .	252
11.2.3.1. Preliminary example . . . . .	252
11.2.3.2. Performance of a solution . . . . .	253
11.2.3.3. Flexibility of a solution . . . . .	255
11.3. Proactive algorithm . . . . .	256
11.3.1. General schema of the proposed genetic algorithm . . . . .	256
11.3.2. Selection and strategy of reproduction . . . . .	258
11.3.3. Coding of a solution . . . . .	258
11.3.4. Crossover operator . . . . .	258
11.3.5. Mutation operator . . . . .	259
11.4. Reactive algorithm . . . . .	260
11.4.1. Functions of the reactive algorithm . . . . .	260
11.4.2. Reactive algorithms in the absence of disruptions . . . . .	261
11.4.2.1. <i>A posteriori</i> quality measures . . . . .	261
11.4.2.2. Proposed algorithms . . . . .	263
11.4.3. Reactive algorithm with disruptions . . . . .	264
11.5. Experiments and validation . . . . .	264
11.6. Extensions and conclusions . . . . .	265
11.7. Bibliography . . . . .	266
<b>Chapter 12. Stabilization for Parallel Applications . . . . .</b>	<b>269</b>
Amine MAHJOUB, Jonathan E. PECERO SÁNCHEZ and Denis TRYSTRAM	
12.1. Introduction . . . . .	270
12.2. Parallel systems and scheduling . . . . .	270
12.2.1. Actual parallel systems . . . . .	270
12.2.2. Definitions and notations . . . . .	271
12.2.3. Motivating example . . . . .	273
12.3. Overview of different existing approaches . . . . .	275
12.4. The stabilization approach . . . . .	276
12.4.1. Stabilization in processing computing . . . . .	276
12.4.2. Example . . . . .	278
12.4.3. Stabilization process . . . . .	280
12.5. Two directions for stabilization . . . . .	280
12.5.1. The PRCP* algorithm . . . . .	281
12.5.2. Strong stabilization . . . . .	283
12.6. An intrinsically stable algorithm . . . . .	286
12.6.1. Convex clustering . . . . .	286
12.6.2. Stability analysis of convex clustering . . . . .	290
12.7. Experiments . . . . .	293
12.7.1. Impact of disturbances in the schedules of the three algorithms . . . . .	294

12 Flexibility and Robustness in Scheduling

12.7.2. Influence of the initial schedule in the stabilization process . . .	295
12.7.3. Comparison of the schedules with and without stabilization . . .	297
12.7.4. Test 1 – comparison for Winkler graphs . . . . .	297
12.7.5. Test 2 – comparison for layer graphs . . . . .	298
12.8. Conclusion . . . . .	299
12.9. Bibliography . . . . .	300

**Chapter 13. Contribution to a Proactive/Reactive Control of Time Critical Systems . . . . . 303**

Pascal AYGALINC, Soizick CALVEZ and Patrice BONHOMME

13.1. Introduction . . . . .	303
13.2. Static problem definition . . . . .	305
13.2.1. Autonomous Petri nets (APN) . . . . .	306
13.2.2. p-time PNs . . . . .	307
13.3. Step 1: computing a feasible sequencing family . . . . .	311
13.4. Step 2: dynamic phase . . . . .	317
13.4.1. Temporal flexibility . . . . .	317
13.4.2. Temporal flexibility and sequential flexibility . . . . .	319
13.4.2.1. Partial order in performance evaluation . . . . .	320
13.4.2.2. Partial order in proactive/reactive control . . . . .	322
13.5. Restrictions due to p-time PNs . . . . .	323
13.6. Bibliography . . . . .	325

**Chapter 14. Small Perturbations on Some NP-Complete Scheduling**

**Problems . . . . . 327**

Christophe PICOULEAU

14.1. Introduction . . . . .	327
14.2. Problem definitions . . . . .	328
14.2.1. Sequencing with release times and deadlines . . . . .	328
14.2.2. Multiprocessor scheduling . . . . .	329
14.2.3. Unit execution times scheduling . . . . .	330
14.2.4. Scheduling unit execution times with unit communication times . . . . .	331
14.3. NP-completeness results . . . . .	332
14.4. Conclusion . . . . .	340
14.5. Bibliography . . . . .	340

**List of Authors . . . . . 341**

**Index . . . . . 347**