

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| Preface | xix |
| PART I. TOLERANCE ANALYSIS AND SYNTHESIS | 1 |
| Chapter 1. A New Method of Expressing Functional Requirements and How to Allocate Tolerance to Parts | 3 |
| Pierre-AntoineADRAGNA and Pascal HERNANDEZ | |
| 1.1. Introduction | 3 |
| 1.2. Brief review | 4 |
| 1.2.1. How to compare | 4 |
| 1.2.2. Statistical tolerancing methods | 6 |
| 1.3. Proposed method | 10 |
| 1.3.1. Functional requirements | 11 |
| 1.3.2. The tolerancing strategy | 14 |
| 1.4. Discussion | 17 |
| 1.4.1. Efficiency of the proposed method | 17 |
| 1.4.2. Comparison to existing approaches | 17 |
| 1.5. Bibliography | 19 |
| Chapter 2. A Parametric Approach to Determine Minimum Clearance in Overconstrained Mechanisms | 21 |
| Philippe SERRÉ, Faïda M'HENNI and André CLÉMENT | |
| 2.1. Introduction | 22 |
| 2.2. Compatibility relations between specification parameters | 24 |
| 2.2.1. Modeling the geometric constraints problem | 24 |
| 2.2.2. Compatibility relations for assemblability requirement | 25 |
| 2.2.3. Compatibility relations for mobility requirement | 27 |
| 2.3. Framework for minimum clearance determination | 29 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 2.3.1. Nominal and associated mechanism | 30 |
| 2.3.2. Actual and associated parts | 31 |
| 2.3.3. Synthetic scheme | 32 |
| 2.4. Application | 33 |
| 2.4.1. First case | 33 |
| 2.4.2. Second case | 35 |
| 2.5. Conclusion | 36 |
| 2.6. Bibliography | 37 |
| Chapter 3. Quick GPS: Tolerancing of an Isolated Part | 39 |
| Robin CHAVANNE and Bernard ANSELMETTI | |
| 3.1. Introduction | 39 |
| 3.2. Mechanism definition | 40 |
| 3.2.1. The positioning plan | 40 |
| 3.2.2. Description with positioning tables | 41 |
| 3.3. Datum system specifications | 42 |
| 3.3.1. Positioning requirements | 42 |
| 3.3.2. Positioning specifications | 42 |
| 3.4. Relative position of reference frames | 47 |
| 3.4.1. Links between reference frames | 47 |
| 3.4.2. Specification corresponding to links | 49 |
| 3.5. VBA application | 52 |
| 3.5.1. General structure | 52 |
| 3.5.2. Data acquisition and verification | 53 |
| 3.5.3. Tolerancing process | 55 |
| 3.6. Conclusion | 57 |
| 3.7. Bibliography | 57 |
| Chapter 4. Synthesis and Statistical Analysis for 3D Tolerancing | 59 |
| Max GIORDANO, Pascal HERNANDEZ and Dimitri DENIMAL | |
| 4.1. Introduction | 59 |
| 4.1.1. Literature review | 60 |
| 4.1.2. The domain model | 61 |
| 4.2. Stack-up tolerance synthesis | 61 |
| 4.2.1. Serial mechanisms | 61 |
| 4.2.2. Analysis for worst case stack-up tolerances | 63 |
| 4.2.3. Analysis with the statistical approach | 64 |
| 4.2.4. Synthesis for stack-up tolerances | 65 |
| 4.3. Serial mechanisms with non-perfect contacts | 66 |
| 4.3.1. Analysis in the worst case | 67 |
| 4.3.2. Analysis with the statistical approach | 67 |
| 4.3.3. Synthesis for a serial mechanical system | 68 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 4.4. “Reducible” structure | 68 |
| 4.4.1. Parallel mechanical structure | 68 |
| 4.4.2. Introduction to “reducible” structure | 70 |
| 4.4.3. Algorithm and computational method. | 71 |
| 4.5. Example of the pin-hole assembly | 72 |
| 4.5.1. Main data. | 72 |
| 4.5.2. Major results. | 74 |
| 4.6. Conclusion and discussion | 75 |
| 4.6.1. Conclusion. | 75 |
| 4.6.2. Discussion and future works | 75 |
| 4.7. Bibliography | 76 |
| Chapter 5. Reliability Analysis of the Functional Specification Applied to a Helicopter Gas Turbine | 77 |
| Yann LEDOUX, Denis TEISSANDIER and Samir SID-AHMED | |
| 5.1. Introduction. | 77 |
| 5.2. Studied case | 78 |
| 5.2.1. Tolerancing model | 79 |
| 5.3. Deterministic approach | 83 |
| 5.3.1. Sensitivity and elasticity analysis | 84 |
| 5.3.2. Discussion about the determinist results | 86 |
| 5.4. Probabilistic approach | 86 |
| 5.4.1. Definition of the state functions | 88 |
| 5.4.2. Determination of the statistical parameters from the tolerancing model | 89 |
| 5.4.3. Failure rate estimation | 90 |
| 5.4.4. Influence of the tolerancing parameters on the failure probability | 91 |
| 5.4.5. Sensibility analysis on the failure probability | 93 |
| 5.4.6. Parametric analysis of the γ_8 parameter | 94 |
| 5.5. Conclusion | 96 |
| 5.6. Acknowledgments. | 96 |
| 5.7. Bibliography | 97 |
| Chapter 6. Inertial Tolerancing According to ISO GPS | 99 |
| Dimitri DENIMAL, Max GIORDANO, Maurice PILLET and Alain SERGENT | |
| 6.1. Introduction. | 99 |
| 6.2. Tolerance synthesis | 100 |
| 6.2.1. Definition of the functional requirement domain (FRD) applied to localization specification | 101 |
| 6.2.2. Tolerance synthesis of a stack of parts | 103 |
| 6.2.3. Example of tolerance synthesis. | 105 |

viii Product Lifecycle Management

| | |
|--|------------|
| 6.2.4. Process capability indices applied to SDT | 109 |
| 6.2.5. Statistical tolerancing risk | 110 |
| 6.2.6. Inertial tolerancing: short reminder | 111 |
| 6.2.7. Inertial tolerancing with stack-up problem | 112 |
| 6.3. 3D inertia | 114 |
| 6.3.1. 3D inertia definitions and comparison | 114 |
| 6.3.2. 3D inertia definitions comparison | 117 |
| 6.3.3. 3D inertia in the industrial context | 119 |
| 6.3.4. 3D inertia - conclusions | 121 |
| 6.4. Conclusions | 121 |
| 6.5. Bibliography | 122 |
| Chapter 7. Tolerance Analysis based on Quantified Constraint Satisfaction Problems | 125 |
| Ahmed Jawad QURESHI, Jean-Yves DANTAN, Jérôme BRUYÈRE and Régis BIGOT | |
| 7.1. Introduction | 125 |
| 7.2. Quantifier notion and mathematical formulation of tolerance synthesis | 127 |
| 7.2.1. Quantifier notion for geometrical product requirement | 127 |
| 7.2.2. Mathematical formulation of tolerance analysis for geometrical product requirement | 128 |
| 7.3. Worst case tolerance analysis based on quantified constraint satisfaction problems | 132 |
| 7.3.1. QCSP | 132 |
| 7.3.2. New mathematical formulation of tolerance analysis for QCSP solver | 133 |
| 7.4. Statistical tolerance analysis based on constraint satisfaction problems and Monte Carlo simulation | 134 |
| 7.4.1. Algorithm for statistical tolerance analysis by Monte Carlo simulation and CSP technique | 135 |
| 7.5. Applications | 139 |
| 7.6. Discussion | 141 |
| 7.7. Bibliography | 142 |
| Chapter 8. Tolerance Analysis in Manufacturing Using the MMP, Comparison and Evaluation of Three Different Approaches | 145 |
| Mojtaba KAMALI NEJAD, Frédéric VIGNAT and François VILLENEUVE | |
| 8.1. Introduction | 146 |
| 8.2. MMP | 147 |
| 8.3. Tolerance analysis and virtual gauge | 149 |
| 8.4. Worst case searching | 150 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 8.4.1. Optimization technique | 150 |
| 8.5. Combined approach | 155 |
| 8.5.1. The combined approach functional elements. | 155 |
| 8.6. Monte Carlo simulation. | 158 |
| 8.7. Example and comparison. | 160 |
| 8.7.1. First section | 160 |
| 8.7.2. Second section | 164 |
| 8.7.3. Discussion | 168 |
| 8.8. Conclusion | 169 |
| 8.9. Bibliography | 170 |
| PART II. SIMULATION OF ASSEMBLIES | 173 |
| Chapter 9. A Chronological Framework for Virtual Sheet Metal Assembly Design | |
| Metal Assembly Design | 175 |
| Johan SEGEBORN, Anders CARLSSON, Johan S. CARLSON and Rikard SÖDERBERG | |
| 9.1. Introduction | 175 |
| 9.1.1. A generic product development process | 176 |
| 9.1.2. Automotive sheet metal assembly | 177 |
| 9.2. Proposed framework | 179 |
| 9.2.1. Planning | 181 |
| 9.2.2. Concept development | 181 |
| 9.2.3. System-level design | 182 |
| 9.2.4. Detail design. | 185 |
| 9.3. Summary and future work | 188 |
| 9.4. Acknowledgments. | 189 |
| 9.5. Bibliography | 189 |
| Chapter 10. A Method to Optimize Geometric Quality and Motion Feasibility of Assembly Sequences | |
| Feasibility of Assembly Sequences | 191 |
| Domenico SPENSIERI, Johan S. CARLSON, Lars LINDKVIST, Robert BOHLIN and Rikard SÖDERBERG | |
| 10.1. Introduction | 191 |
| 10.1.1. Problem motivation | 191 |
| 10.1.2. Related work | 192 |
| 10.2. Modeling and algorithms | 194 |
| 10.2.1. Modeling connections | 194 |
| 10.2.2. Stability and variation analysis | 199 |
| 10.2.3. Assembly sequences | 200 |
| 10.2.4. Path planning | 203 |
| 10.3. Assembly planning | 204 |

x Product Lifecycle Management

| | |
|---|------------|
| 10.4. Industrial test case | 204 |
| 10.5. Conclusions and future work | 206 |
| 10.6. Acknowledgments | 207 |
| 10.7. Bibliography | 207 |
| Chapter 11. Modeling and Simulation of Assembly Constraints in Tolerance Analysis of Rigid Part Assemblies | 209 |
| Pasquale FRANCIOSA, Salvatore GERBINO and Stanislao PATALANO | |
| 11.1. Introduction | 209 |
| 11.2. SVA-TOL methodology overview | 211 |
| 11.3. Assembly constraint modeling | 212 |
| 11.3.1. Fully-constrained assembly | 217 |
| 11.3.2. Over-constrained assembly | 221 |
| 11.4. Case study one: assembly of two-part assembly | 222 |
| 11.5. Case study two: industrial application | 225 |
| 11.6. Conclusions | 227 |
| 11.7. Bibliography | 228 |
| Chapter 12. Tolerance Analysis with detailed Part Modeling | 231 |
| Tobias STOLL, Stefan WITTMANN and Harald MEERKAMM | |
| 12.1. Introduction | 231 |
| 12.2. Related work | 232 |
| 12.3. The proposed modeling and analysis of tolerated assemblies | 233 |
| 12.4. Simulation of non-ideal parts | 234 |
| 12.5. Relative positioning | 235 |
| 12.5.1. Defined objective functions | 236 |
| 12.5.2. Particle swarm optimization | 237 |
| 12.5.3. Independence of the positioning steps | 238 |
| 12.5.4. Parallelization | 238 |
| 12.6. Analysis of the positioned assemblies | 239 |
| 12.7. Example | 239 |
| 12.8. Summary | 241 |
| 12.9. Acknowledgements | 242 |
| 12.10. Bibliography | 242 |
| Chapter 13. Assembly Method Comparison Including Form Defect | 245 |
| Stéphane MORIÈRE, Jean MAILHÉ, Jean-Marc LINARES and Jean-Michel SPRAUEL | |
| 13.1. Introduction | 245 |
| 13.1.1. Topic | 245 |
| 13.1.2. Actual lack of CAD | 246 |
| 13.1.3. State of the art and proposal | 246 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 13.2. Geometric model for simulation | 248 |
| 13.2.1. Part with form defects | 248 |
| 13.2.2. Assembly process | 250 |
| 13.2.3. Function for optimization | 250 |
| 13.2.4. Constraints | 252 |
| 13.3. Experimentation | 252 |
| 13.3.1. Case study | 252 |
| 13.3.2. Simulation setup | 253 |
| 13.4. Result discussion | 253 |
| 13.4.1. Case 1 | 253 |
| 13.4.2. Case 2 | 254 |
| 13.4.3. Case 3 | 255 |
| 13.4.4. Case 4 | 255 |
| 13.5. Summary | 256 |
| 13.6. Bibliography | 256 |
| Chapter 14. Influence of Geometric Defects on Service Life | 259 |
| Laurent ZAMPONI, Emmanuel MERMOZ, Jean-Marc LINARES and Jean-Michel SPRAUEL | |
| 14.1. Introduction | 259 |
| 14.1.1. Topic | 259 |
| 14.1.2. Service life functional requirements | 260 |
| 14.1.3. State of the art | 261 |
| 14.2. Calculation methodology of contact pressure and orbital speed variation | 263 |
| 14.2.1. Schedule of the methodology | 265 |
| 14.2.2. Introduction of geometric defects in FEM | 265 |
| 14.2.3. Model of geometric defect | 266 |
| 14.3. Simulation | 268 |
| 14.3.1. Studied case | 268 |
| 14.3.2. Effect of the localization defect on orbital speed variation | 269 |
| 14.3.3. Effect of the orientation defect on the contact load and orbital speed variation | 269 |
| 14.3.4. Effect of the form defects and undulation on speed variation | 270 |
| 14.4. Summary | 271 |
| 14.5. Bibliography | 272 |
| Chapter 15. GapSpace Multi-dimensional Assembly Analysis | 273 |
| Edward MORSE and Xiaobin YOU | |
| 15.1. Introduction | 273 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 15.2. Representing dimensions and tolerances | 275 |
| 15.3. Geometric tolerances | 276 |
| 15.4. Perfect form tolerance zones | 279 |
| 15.5. Assembly tolerance specification | 279 |
| 15.6. Floating assembly | 280 |
| 15.7. Kinematic assembly | 282 |
| 15.8. Manufacturing dimensioning schemes | 282 |
| 15.9. The revised 2D tolerance chart | 284 |
| 15.10. Parametric representation of the PF-tolerance zone of a CS-feature | 284 |
| 15.11. Surfaces of revolution | 288 |
| 15.12. Nominal dimensions of the CS | 288 |
| 15.13. 1D constraining simplices | 289 |
| 15.14. 2D constraining simplices | 290 |
| 15.15. Case study | 293 |
| 15.16. Conclusion | 297 |
| 15.17. Acknowledgements | 298 |
| 15.18. Bibliography | 298 |
| PART III. MEASUREMENT | 299 |
| Chapter 16. Impact of the Sampling Strategy on Geometrical Checking Uncertainties | 301 |
| Jean MAILHÉ, Jean-Marc LINARES, Jean-Michel SPRAUEL and Jean-Paul RAYNAL | |
| 16.1. Introduction | 301 |
| 16.2. Geometrical verification and virtual gauges | 302 |
| 16.2.1. Verification by virtual gauge without best fit | 302 |
| 16.2.2. Verification with associated feature | 303 |
| 16.2.3. Statistical point of view | 304 |
| 16.3. Field of probability of the presence of matter | 304 |
| 16.4. Virtual gauges | 306 |
| 16.5. Interference probability map | 308 |
| 16.5.1. Geometrical verification process | 308 |
| 16.6. Experiment | 309 |
| 16.6.1. Envelope zone specification | 309 |
| 16.6.2. Perpendicularity specification | 312 |
| 16.7. Conclusion | 314 |
| 16.8. Bibliography | 315 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Chapter 17. Predetermination of Measurement Uncertainty in the Application of Computed Tomography | 317 |
| Albert WECKENMANN and Philipp KRÄMER | |
| 17.1. Introduction | 317 |
| 17.2. Prior investigations | 318 |
| 17.3. Measurements of user-controllable influences | 319 |
| 17.4. Estimation of influences | 323 |
| 17.5. Calculation of the task-specific measurement uncertainty according to GUM | 325 |
| 17.6. Summary | 329 |
| 17.7. Acknowledgments | 330 |
| 17.8. Bibliography | 330 |
| Chapter 18. Application of Function Oriented Parameters for Areal Measurements in Surface Engineering | 331 |
| Albert WECKENMANN and Özgür TAN | |
| 18.1. Introduction | 331 |
| 18.2. Surface measurements | 332 |
| 18.3. Functional parameters | 333 |
| 18.3.1. 2D functional parameters from ISO 13565-2 | 333 |
| 18.3.2. 3D functional parameters | 334 |
| 18.4. Characterization of the whole application | 335 |
| 18.5. Case study: spreading liquid on metal surfaces | 336 |
| 18.5.1. Step 1: gathering information | 336 |
| 18.5.2. Step 2: modeling the system | 336 |
| 18.5.3. Step 3: application of a functional test | 337 |
| 18.5.4. Step 4: surface requirements | 341 |
| 18.5.5. Step 5: measurement system | 341 |
| 18.5.6. Step 6: functional parameters | 342 |
| 18.6. Conclusions | 343 |
| 18.7. Acknowledgments | 343 |
| 18.8. Bibliography | 343 |
| Chapter 19. Validation of a Reception or Production Control Process by the Inertial Indicator I_G | 345 |
| Daniel DURET, Maurice PILLET, Alain SERGENT and Dimitri DENIMAL | |
| 19.1. Introduction | 345 |
| 19.2. Comparison of the “production controls” and “reception controls” approaches | 346 |
| 19.3. Production bias and measure bias | 348 |
| 19.4. Inertial capability | 348 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 19.5. Inertia of the control process and inertia of the production process | 349 |
| 19.5.1. Law of composition. | 349 |
| 19.5.2. Disturbances due to bias | 350 |
| 19.5.3. Definition of the inertial “ndc” | 350 |
| 19.6. Inertia of the control process and total customer inertia (control of reception). | 352 |
| 19.7. Conclusions | 353 |
| 19.8. Bibliography | 354 |
| Chapter 20. Detection of Areas with Critically Reduced Thickness of Formed Sheet Metal Parts Using Two Oppositely Positioned Fringe Projection Systems | 355 |
| Albert WECKENMANN and Natasja PETROVIC | |
| 20.1. Introduction | 355 |
| 20.2. Methods | 356 |
| 20.2.1. Measuring system and data fusion | 356 |
| 20.2.2. Methods of data analysis | 360 |
| 20.2.3. Algorithm for the calculation of minimal wall thicknesses | 362 |
| 20.3. Visualization and discussion of results | 367 |
| 20.4. Summary | 369 |
| 20.5. Acknowledgments | 370 |
| 20.6. Bibliography | 370 |
| Chapter 21. Variability of the Manufacturing Process in the GPS Framework: A Case Study | 371 |
| Manuela DE MADDIS and Martina GANDINI | |
| 21.1. Introduction | 371 |
| 21.2. Variability sources | 373 |
| 21.2.1. Measurement uncertainty | 374 |
| 21.2.2. Process variability | 378 |
| 21.3. Simulations | 378 |
| 21.3.1. Simulations with independent component analysis (ICA) | 379 |
| 21.4. Simulation with seasonal trend decomposition (STL) | 382 |
| 21.5. Summary | 383 |
| 21.6. Bibliography | 384 |
| Chapter 22. Virtual CMM-based Sampling Strategy Optimization | 385 |
| Giovanni MORONI and Stefano PETRÒ | |
| 22.1. Introduction | 385 |
| 22.1.1. Conformance to geometric tolerances | 386 |
| 22.1.2. Evaluating geometric error | 387 |
| 22.1.3. Goals | 388 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 22.2. State of the art | 389 |
| 22.3. Proposed methodology | 390 |
| 22.3.1. Evaluation of C_M | 390 |
| 22.3.2. Evaluation of C_E | 391 |
| 22.3.3. Evaluating the uncertainty: the virtual CMM. | 392 |
| 22.3.4. Cost function minimization | 395 |
| 22.4. Case study | 395 |
| 22.5. Conclusions | 399 |
| 22.6. Acknowledgments | 401 |
| 22.7. Bibliography | 401 |
| Chapter 23. Impact of Workpiece Shape Deviations in Coordinate Metrology | 405 |
| Gisela LANZA and Jochen PETERS | |
| 23.1. Introduction | 405 |
| 23.2. Evaluation in coordinate metrology | 407 |
| 23.3. The Jackknife | 409 |
| 23.4. Application to CMM data | 410 |
| 23.4.1. Resampling point clouds | 410 |
| 23.4.2. Influence of single measurement points | 411 |
| 23.4.3. Evaluation uncertainty | 412 |
| 23.4.4. Example of use | 413 |
| 23.5. Simulation | 415 |
| 23.5.1. Simulation procedure | 415 |
| 23.5.2. Simulation results | 416 |
| 23.6. Summary and outlook | 417 |
| 23.7. Bibliography | 418 |
| Chapter 24. Quality Assurance of Micro-gears via 3D Surface Characterization | 419 |
| Gisela LANZA and Benjamin VIERING | |
| 24.1. Introduction | 419 |
| 24.2. Test specimen and experimental equipment | 420 |
| 24.3. 3D characterization | 421 |
| 24.3.1. Benefits of a 3D characterization | 421 |
| 24.3.2. Approach | 423 |
| 24.4. Summary | 428 |
| 24.5. Acknowledgments | 428 |
| 24.6. Bibliography | 429 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| PART IV. TOLERANCING IN THE PLM | 431 |
| Chapter 25. Geometric Specification at the Beginning of the Product Lifecycle | |
| Renaud COSTADOAT, Luc MATHIEU, Hugo FALGARONE and Benoît FRICERO | |
| 25.1. Introduction | 433 |
| 25.2. Study of the skeleton | 436 |
| 25.2.1. Presentation of the models used | 436 |
| 25.2.2. Description of the mechanism and first simulations | 437 |
| 25.2.3. Conclusion of the first step | 441 |
| 25.3. Study of the functional surfaces | 442 |
| 25.3.1. Presentation of the models used | 442 |
| 25.3.2. Details of the mechanism and second step of simulation | 443 |
| 25.3.3. Conclusion of the second step | 450 |
| 25.4. Specification | 450 |
| 25.5. Conclusion | 451 |
| 25.6. Bibliography | 452 |
| Chapter 26. Ontological Model of Tolerances for Interoperability in Product Lifecycle | |
| Gaurav AMETA and Patrick HOFFMANN | |
| 26.1. Introduction | 455 |
| 26.2. Ontology | 456 |
| 26.2.1. Information modeling as an ontology | 457 |
| 26.2.2. Choice of ontology language | 457 |
| 26.3. Literature survey | 458 |
| 26.4. Ontology of tolerances | 459 |
| 26.5. Example of tolerance ontology instantiation | 464 |
| 26.6. Summary | 465 |
| 26.7. Bibliography | 466 |
| Chapter 27. A PLM-Based Multi-Sensor Integration Measurement System for Geometry Processing | |
| Zhao HAIBIN, Nabil ANWER and Pierre BOURDET | |
| 27.1. Introduction | 469 |
| 27.2. Sensor integration methodology | 471 |
| 27.2.1. System framework | 471 |
| 27.2.2. Physical integration of multiple sensors | 473 |
| 27.2.3. Laser guide metrology | 474 |
| 27.3. Ontology modeling in a PLM-context | 475 |
| 27.3.1. Description of ontology modeling | 476 |
| 27.3.2. Ontology modeling in Protégé | 476 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 27.4. Geometry processing | 478 |
| 27.4.1. Shape analysis based on the shape index and curvedness | 478 |
| 27.4.2. Quality evaluation. | 479 |
| 27.5. Experiments validation | 480 |
| 27.6. Conclusion | 482 |
| 27.7. Acknowledgments | 483 |
| 27.8. Bibliography | 483 |
| Chapter 28. Comparison of Gear Geometric Specification Models Regarding the Functional Aspect | 485 |
| Jean-Paul VINCENT, Jean-Yves DANTAN, Gerth GOCH and Régis BIGOT | |
| 28.1. Introduction | 485 |
| 28.2. Specification models. | 489 |
| 28.3. Comparison method | 490 |
| 28.3.1. Global approach | 490 |
| 28.3.2. Geometrical modeling | 492 |
| 28.3.3. Virtual meshing simulation | 493 |
| 28.3.4. Virtual metrology | 495 |
| 28.3.5. Evaluation of the kinematic characteristics | 496 |
| 28.4. Criteria comparison | 497 |
| 28.4.1. Objective | 497 |
| 28.4.2. Example. | 497 |
| 28.4.3. Comparing the results | 498 |
| 28.5. Conclusion | 499 |
| 28.6. Bibliography | 502 |
| Chapter 29. Effects of Geometric Variation on Perceived Quality | 503 |
| Karin FORSLUND and Rikard SÖDERBERG | |
| 29.1. Introduction | 503 |
| 29.1.1. Types of robustness. | 504 |
| 29.1.2. The product experience. | 505 |
| 29.1.3. Perceived quality of non-nominal products. | 505 |
| 29.1.4. Design as a process of communication | 506 |
| 29.2. A framework for describing visual robustness to geometric variation | 507 |
| 29.2.1. Visual reference level. | 508 |
| 29.2.2. Optical level | 511 |
| 29.2.3. Perception level | 512 |
| 29.2.4. Response level. | 513 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 29.3. Visual fit complexity assessment method | 511 |
| 29.4. Discussion and conclusions | 517 |
| 29.5. Bibliography | 518 |
| Chapter 30. Geometric Requirement Variations Throughout the Product Lifecycle | 521 |
| Guillaume MANDIL, Alain DESROCHERS and Alain RIVIÈRE | |
| 30.1. Introduction | 521 |
| 30.2. Literature review | 522 |
| 30.2.1. Related standards | 522 |
| 30.2.2. Related research | 522 |
| 30.3. Definitions and concepts | 523 |
| 30.3.1. Dimensions | 523 |
| 30.3.2. Functional requirements | 524 |
| 30.4. Functional requirements throughout lifecycle stages | 525 |
| 30.4.1. General principles | 525 |
| 30.4.2. Computational rules | 527 |
| 30.5. Case study: a simple 1D crosshead guide | 531 |
| 30.5.1. Hypothesis | 531 |
| 30.5.2. Dimension driven calculation | 533 |
| 30.5.3. Functional requirement driven calculation | 535 |
| 30.5.4. Geometry driven calculation | 537 |
| 30.6. Conclusion and perspectives | 539 |
| 30.6.1. High level management of functional requirements | 539 |
| 30.6.2. Further work | 540 |
| 30.7. Acknowledgments | 540 |
| 30.8. Bibliography | 541 |
| List of Authors | 543 |
| Index | 549 |