

# Contents

|  |      |
|--|------|
| <b>Foreword</b> . . . . .  | ix   |
| Christian FONTEIX  |      |
| <br>   |      |
| <b>Introduction</b> . . . . .  | xiii |
| <br>   |      |
| <b>Chapter 1. The Selection of Ideas During a Creativity Workshop:<br/>An Application of PROMETHEE</b> . . . . . | 1    |
| 1.1. Context and challenges in decision-making . . . . .   | 2    |
| 1.1.1. The phases of a creative workshop . . . . .   | 2    |
| 1.1.2. Evaluation and selection of ideas . . . . .   | 4    |
| 1.2. The PROMETHEE method . . . . .  | 9    |
| 1.2.1. Methodological concept: the preference function . . . . .   | 10   |
| 1.2.2. Application process . . . . .   | 14   |
| 1.3. Application of PROMETHEE to the selection of ideas . . . . .  | 16   |
| 1.3.1. Context of the workshop . . . . .   | 16   |
| 1.3.2. Discussion of the results . . . . .   | 22   |
| 1.4. To go further . . . . .   | 23   |
| 1.4.1. The Gaia plane . . . . .  | 23   |
| 1.4.2. Regarding the different versions of PROMETHEE . . . . .   | 25   |
| 1.5. The PROMETHEE method: instructions for use . . . . .  | 26   |
| 1.5.1. PROMETHEE step by step . . . . .  | 26   |
| 1.5.2. Application of PROMETHEE with software support . . . . .  | 32   |
| 1.6. References . . . . .  | 39   |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>Chapter 2. The Upstream Phases of Product Design:<br/>An Application of AHP</b> . . . . .                        | 43  |
| 2.1. Context and challenges in decision-making . . . . .  | 44  |
| 2.1.1. The challenges of the upstream phases of the design process . . . . .  | 44  |
| 2.1.2. A trend in innovation: sustainable design . . . . .  | 45  |
| 2.1.3. Definition of the decision model . . . . .   | 46  |
| 2.2. The AHP method: analytic hierarchy process . . . . .   | 49  |
| 2.2.1. The fundamental principle: the relative importance of criteria . . . . .                                     | 49  |
| 2.2.2. Application of the method . . . . .  | 54  |
| 2.3. Going further: the question of interdependence between criteria . . . . .                                      | 62  |
| 2.3.1. The Dematel method . . . . .   | 63  |
| 2.3.2. Application to the case study . . . . .  | 67  |
| 2.3.3. Proposal for an AHP/Dematel combined approach . . . . .  | 68  |
| 2.4. AHP: instructions for use . . . . .  | 72  |
| 2.4.1. Practical guide . . . . .  | 72  |
| 2.4.2. Illustration of related free software . . . . .  | 78  |
| 2.5. References . . . . .   | 83  |
| <br>  |     |
| <b>Chapter 3. Marketing Strategy During the Market Entry Phase:<br/>An Application of Rough Sets</b> . . . . .      | 85  |
| 3.1. Context and challenges in decision-making . . . . .  | 86  |
| 3.1.1. Decision-making in marketing . . . . .   | 86  |
| 3.1.2. Definition of the decision model . . . . .   | 88  |
| 3.2. The Rough Sets method or the theory of approximate sets . . . . .  | 90  |
| 3.2.1. Terminology . . . . .  | 90  |
| 3.2.2. Fundamental principle: indiscernibility . . . . .  | 91  |
| 3.2.3. Application of the method . . . . .  | 93  |
| 3.3. Discussion of the results obtained . . . . .   | 96  |
| 3.3.1. Conditional rules obtained . . . . .   | 96  |
| 3.3.2. Operational exploitation of rules . . . . .  | 98  |
| 3.3.3. To go further: dominance-based rough sets approach . . . . .   | 100 |
| 3.4. The Rough Sets method: instructions for use . . . . .  | 103 |
| 3.4.1. The case of extracting information from a database:<br>an example using the freeware program 4Emka . . . . . | 103 |
| 3.4.2. The case of implicit preference modeling . . . . .   | 108 |
| 3.4.3. Step-by-step application . . . . .   | 109 |
| 3.5. References . . . . .   | 120 |

---

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>Chapter 4. Building a Coherent Project Portfolio:<br/>An Application of MAUT</b> . . . . .         | 123 |
| 4.1. Context and challenges in decision-making . . . . .  | 124 |
| 4.1.1. The selection of innovative projects . . . . .   | 124 |
| 4.1.2. Decision-making in the petroleum industry . . . . .  | 124 |
| 4.1.3. Definition of the decision model . . . . .   | 126 |
| 4.2. The MAUT method . . . . .  | 127 |
| 4.2.1. Terminology and methodological concepts . . . . .  | 127 |
| 4.2.2. Application of the method . . . . .  | 130 |
| 4.3. To go further . . . . .  | 138 |
| 4.3.1. Consideration of the constraints in making the decision . . . . .                              | 138 |
| 4.3.2. Synergies between criteria/between projects . . . . .  | 139 |
| 4.3.3. The accuracy of the utility function . . . . .   | 141 |
| 4.4. The MAUT method: instructions for use . . . . .  | 141 |
| 4.4.1. MAUT step by step . . . . .  | 141 |
| 4.4.2. Using software supports . . . . .  | 149 |
| 4.5. References . . . . .   | 154 |
| <br>  |     |
| <b>Chapter 5. The Recruitment Process in Human Resources:<br/>An Application of ELECTRE</b> . . . . . | 157 |
| 5.1. Context and challenges in decision-making . . . . .  | 158 |
| 5.1.1. Human resources management and innovation . . . . .  | 158 |
| 5.1.2. The challenges of the recruitment process . . . . .  | 159 |
| 5.2. The ELECTRE method . . . . .   | 161 |
| 5.2.1. Methodological concepts . . . . .  | 161 |
| 5.2.2. Application of ELECTRE to the hiring of new staff members . . . . .                            | 164 |
| 5.3. To go further . . . . .  | 173 |
| 5.3.1. Addressing incomparability in the results . . . . .  | 173 |
| 5.3.2. Improving the accuracy of input data: processing<br>qualitative criteria . . . . .             | 177 |
| 5.4. ELECTRE: instructions for use . . . . .  | 178 |
| 5.4.1. Practical guide . . . . .  | 179 |
| 5.4.2. Illustration of related free software . . . . .  | 187 |
| 5.5. References . . . . .   | 190 |
| <br>  |     |
| <b>Chapter 6. Knowledge Management in the Supply Chain:<br/>An Application of TOPSIS</b> . . . . .    | 193 |
| 6.1. Context and challenges in decision-making . . . . .  | 194 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 6.1.1. Knowledge management in the supply chain . . . . .                                | 194 |
| 6.1.2. Definition of the decision model . . . . .  | 196 |
| 6.2. The TOPSIS method . . . . .   | 199 |
| 6.2.1. Methodological concepts . . . . .   | 200 |
| 6.2.2. Application of the method . . . . .   | 204 |
| 6.3. To go further . . . . .   | 213 |
| 6.3.1. The imprecise nature of human judgment: moving towards<br>“fuzzy logic” . . . . . | 213 |
| 6.3.2. Sensitivity analysis or the proposal of simulation scenarios . . . . .            | 216 |
| 6.4. The TOPSIS method: instructions for use . . . . .                                   | 218 |
| 6.4.1. TOPSIS step by step . . . . .   | 218 |
| 6.4.2. Illustration of related free software. . . . .                                    | 225 |
| 6.5. References . . . . .  | 229 |
| <b>Conclusion</b> . . . . .  | 231 |
| <b>List of Authors</b> . . . . .   | 237 |
| <b>Index</b> . . . . .   | 239 |